# TAX CONTROVERSY





••• LEXOLOGY ••• Getting The Deal Through Consulting editor Macfarlanes LLP

# **Tax Controversy**

Consulting editors Gideon Sanitt, Ben Webster

Macfarlanes LLP

Quick reference guide enabling side-by-side comparison of local insights, including into the legal and regulatory framework; compliance and enforcement; involvement/investigation of third parties; cooperation between tax and other authorities; special procedures, voluntary disclosure, and amnesties; rights of taxpayers; court actions; and recent trends.

### Generated 05 September 2022

The information contained in this report is indicative only. Law Business Research is not responsible for any actions (or lack thereof) taken as a result of relying on or in any way using information contained in this report and in no event shall be liable for any damages resulting from reliance on or use of this information. © Copyright 2006 - 2022 Law Business Research



# Table of contents

#### **OVERVIEW**

Legislation Relevant authority

#### ENFORCEMENT

Verification of compliance with tax laws

Tax return review procedure and limitation periods

Tax authority requests for information

Taxpayer failure to provide information

**Protecting commercial information** 

Alternative dispute resolution

**Collecting overdue payments** 

Penalties - scope of application

Penalties – calculation

Penalties – defences

Collecting and calculating interest

**Criminal consequences** 

Tax avoidance

Enforcement record

#### THIRD PARTIES AND OTHER AUTHORITIES

Third-party involvement with tax reviews Cooperation with other authorities

#### FINANCIAL OR OTHER HARDSHIP

Voluntary disclosure and amnesties

#### **RIGHTS OF TAXPAYERS**

Rules protecting taxpayers Requesting information from tax authority Oversight of tax authority governance

#### **COURT PROCEEDINGS**

**Competent courts** 

Lodging a claim



- **Combination of claims**
- **Pre-claim payments**
- Cost recovery
- Third-party funding
- Availability of jury trials
- **Time frames**
- **Disclosure requirements**
- **Permitted evidence**
- **Permitted representation**
- **Publicity of proceedings**
- Burden of proof
- **Case management process**
- Appeal

#### **UPDATE AND TRENDS**

Key developments of the past year



## Contributors

#### Japan



#### Eiichiro Nakatani eiichiro.nakatani@amt-law.com Anderson Mōri & Tomotsune



#### Yutaka Shimoo yutaka.shimoo@amt-law.com Anderson Mōri & Tomotsune

Anderson Möri & Tomotsune



#### **OVERVIEW**

#### Legislation

What is the relevant legislation relating to tax administration and controversies? Aside from legislation, are there other binding rules for taxpayers and the tax authority?

#### **Relevant tax acts**

Articles 30 and 84 of the Japanese Constitution require that all taxes be imposed by Acts of the Diet. The legislation that is relevant to the procedural aspects of taxes in Japan includes:

- the National Tax General Rule Act (Act No. 66 of 1962), which deals mainly with matters generally related to national taxes, such as time limits for the tax authority to issue tax assessments, penalties for failure to file tax returns and rules on tax audits;
- the National Tax Collection Act (Act No. 147 of 1959), which stipulates the procedures for the collection of national taxes; and
- the National Tax Violation Control Act (Act No. 67 of 1900), which sets out the criminal procedures related to evasion of national taxes.

Some pieces of legislation that mainly deal with substantive aspects of national taxes also provide procedural rules related to national taxes, such as the Income Tax Act (Act No. 33 of 1965); the Corporation Tax Act (Act No. 34 of 1965); the Inheritance Tax Act (Act No. 73 of 1950); the Consumption Tax Act (Act No. 108 of 1988); and the Act on Special Measures Concerning Taxation (Act No. 26 of 1957).

#### Other legally binding rules

#### Tax treaties

Tax treaties that have been concluded by the cabinet and approved by the Diet are given full force in Japan. As a member of the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD), Japan adopts provisions that are in line with the OECD Model Tax Convention when concluding treaties with other countries. As of 1 July 2022, Japan has concluded 83 tax treaties that are applicable to 149 jurisdictions and designed to avoid double taxation, prevent tax evasion and foster the exchange of information and assistance in the collection of taxes. Furthermore, the Multilateral Convention to Implement Tax Treaty Related Measures to Prevent Base Erosion and Profit Shifting' came into effect in January 2019. As of 25 May 2022 Japan has adopted most parts of this treaty and selected 42 jurisdictions as applicable areas.

#### Cabinet orders and ministerial ordinances

The cabinet can, within the powers granted to it under the relevant Acts, enact cabinet orders to implement the acts. Similarly, ministers can, within the powers granted to them under the Acts or cabinet orders, enact ministerial ordinances to implement Acts and cabinet orders.

#### Legally unbinding but practically respected rules

#### Administrative circular



The Commissioner of the National Tax Agency (NTA) issues circulars, which are directives to officials of the NTA and its subordinate bureaus to provide a uniform interpretation and application of tax laws. However, circulars are merely interpretations by the tax authority and are not binding as a source of law.

#### **Court precedents**

The courts' interpretations of tax laws are not binding as a source of law. The interpretations of the courts, especially those of the Supreme Court, are generally respected in practice as an authority to support one's position.

Law stated - 12 July 2022

#### **Relevant authority**

What is the relevant tax authority and how is it organised?

The NTA, which is an extra-ministerial bureau of the Ministry of Finance, is the primary governmental agency with respect to national taxes. The NTA has a three-tier organisational structure: the head office; 11 regional taxation bureaus and Okinawa Regional Taxation Office; and more than 500 tax offices. Local governments, their subordinate prefectural tax offices, city offices and town and village offices handle matters regarding local taxes.

Law stated - 12 July 2022

#### ENFORCEMENT

#### Verification of compliance with tax laws

How does the tax authority verify compliance with the tax laws? Does this vary for different taxpayers or taxes?

The tax authority verifies compliance by reviewing filed tax returns and conducting field examinations, which are audits conducted at the taxpayer's site. While reviews are generally handled by tax offices, corporations with over ¥100 million in capital and foreign corporations are subject to review by regional taxation bureaux.

If a review reveals a failure to file tax returns or underreporting of the tax amount, the taxpayer is usually contacted by a tax officer and instructed to file a return stating the correct tax amount and paying the unpaid tax (with a penalty, if applicable). In other cases, taxpayers are subject to field examinations that are conducted at their site. The National Tax General Rule Act requires, in principle, the tax authority to give the taxpayer notification before the tax officer's visit to the taxpayer's site. A field examination can last from a few days to more than a year, depending on various factors, such as the scale of the business operated by the examined taxpayer. A field examination generally involves studying the books, accounting records and inventories of the taxpayer and interviewing the taxpayer's employees. These interviews are conducted under the power to access the relevant book records and other materials and to ask questions. In field examinations of business entities or individuals operating businesses, the examiners investigate all income tax concurrently, including tax that should have been withheld, corporation tax and consumption tax. At the end of a field examination, the tax authority issues a disposition to impose the tax that the taxpayer should have reported in the returns for previous years or a document that no disposition is imposed on the taxpayer.



#### Tax return review procedure and limitation periods

What is the typical procedure for the tax authority to review a tax return and how long does the review last? What limitation periods apply?

In summary, there are two types of assessment (tax audit) carried out by the tax authority: internal review and field examination. As The National Tax General Rule Act generally prohibits the tax authority from executing two or more field examinations regarding the same (business) year of the taxpayer, most of the assessments are executed as an internal review.

Although the frequency and duration of the review differ depending on the case, as for companies, a field examination is generally conducted every one to three years for large companies, and every five to ten years for mid- and small-sized companies. One field examination generally takes approximately several months (including several days for site investigations), but may take over one year in complex cases (including field examinations for transfer pricing). In a field examination, the tax authority generally has to make a notice before the start of the examination, and to explain the results upon completion.

The National Tax General Rule Act provides that the statute of limitations on assessments is five years from the statutory due date of the tax returns. This general rule does not apply to certain cases, such as cases of tax evasion (seven years) and situations of increases or decreases in the amount of net losses (10 years). The Act further exempts cases where certain events that occur after the statute of limitations under the general rule have expired. For example, if a tax has been reported based on a transaction that brought about an income, and the income was later returned due to invalidity of the transaction, the limitation is generally three years from the day that the income was returned.

Law stated - 12 July 2022

#### Tax authority requests for information

What types of information may the tax authority request from taxpayers? Can the tax authority interview the taxpayer or the taxpayer's employees? If so, are there any restrictions?

The National Tax General Rule Act provides that the tax authority may ask the taxpayer and certain persons specified by the Act (eg, persons to whom the taxpayer is or was obligated to pay money) to submit or present the relevant book records and other materials, which generally include business books and records, financial information and copies of transaction documents. The tax authority is likely to interpret the phrase 'book records and other materials' as authorising the auditors to access a wide range of information. However, the power to request information from taxpayers is restricted by the requirement of necessity.

The Act empowers the tax authority to ask questions to the taxpayer and the persons specified by the Act. Under this rule, the tax authority can interview the taxpayer and its employees. As with the power to access book records and other materials, the power to ask questions is also subject to the requirement of necessity.

Law stated - 12 July 2022

#### Taxpayer failure to provide information

What actions may the tax authority take if the taxpayer does not provide the required information?

The agencies are prohibited from intruding on any private premises or auditing any materials without the consent of the taxpayer. However, a taxpayer is punishable by imprisonment for up to one year or a fine of up to ¥500,000 if the



taxpayer fails to provide an answer, provides a false answer or obstructs an audit. If the matter concerns tax evasion, which is subject to criminal punishments, the agencies can obtain court approval to access private premises or materials without the taxpayer's consent.

Law stated - 12 July 2022

#### **Protecting commercial information**

How may taxpayers protect commercial information, including business secrets or professional advice, from disclosure? Is the tax authority subject to any restrictions concerning what it can do with the information disclosed?

Japanese law does not explicitly protect commercial information or professional advice against tax audits. But the tax agencies are subject to two requirements under the National Tax General Rule Act in their conduct of tax audits: the agencies are allowed to ask taxpayers questions or audit materials only if it is objectively necessary; and taxpayers are criminally punishable only if there are no reasonable grounds to refuse the agencies' request for materials or copies of the materials. These two requirements of necessity and lack of reasonable grounds function, to a certain extent, as protection of commercial information and professional advice. It is an open question as to whether a duty of confidentiality provides professionals, such as accountants or attorneys, with reasonable grounds to refuse the agencies' requests, although a few judicial decisions seem to deny the existence of reasonable grounds.

National public officers who are in charge of tax matters are subject to a duty of confidentiality regarding what they know in relation to the review. A national public officer could face imprisonment for up to two years or a fine of up to ¥1 million if he or she breaches their duty.

Law stated - 12 July 2022

#### Alternative dispute resolution

What (if any) alternative dispute resolution (ADR) or settlement options are available

There are three methods for a taxpayer to seek resolution of a tax dispute with the government:

- filing a request for reinvestigation;
- requesting administrative review; and
- filing a lawsuit.

The first two are systems of administrative appeal and the last is a judicial appeal system. Besides these options, there are no other systems to resolve tax disputes with the government. Japanese tax laws do not allow the government to settle with taxpayers. However, there are some cases of de facto settlement, in which the government cancels a disposition in exchange for the taxpayer's concession of a related claim.

A request for reinvestigation is generally filed with the administrative agency that has made the disputed disposition. For example, a request for reinvestigation of a disposition of the head of a tax office is filed with him or her. It must be filed within three months of the date of receipt of the notice of disposition. Execution of a disposition is not suspended by the filing of a request. If the request is upheld, the disposition is cancelled; otherwise it will continue to be valid.

Taxpayers have an option to file a request for administrative review without having filed a request for reinvestigation. If a taxpayer adopts this option, a request for administrative review is filed with the President of the National Tax Tribunal. It must be filed within three months of the date of receipt of the notice of disposition. Otherwise, a request for



administrative review may be filed with the President of the National Tax Tribunal by a taxpayer who is not satisfied with the decision received concerning a request for reinvestigation within one month following the decision issuance date, or who has not received any decision concerning a request for reinvestigation within three months of filing the request.

Law stated - 12 July 2022

#### **Collecting overdue payments**

How may the tax authority collect overdue tax payments following a tax review?

The general process to collect defaulted tax involves the tax authority first sending a collection letter to the taxpayer within 50 days from the original due date. If a payment is not made despite the demand letter, a disposition for non-payment will be instituted. The tax authority will then initiate a procedure to collect the defaulted tax if full payment of the tax due is not made within 10 days after the notice. Without the need for a court permit, the tax authority is allowed to seize the defaulting taxpayer's assets (including claims to a third party, such as a claim for funds in a bank account), convert the assets into money and seize the proceeds derived from the sales of assets. This money raised is then used to pay the defaulted tax and any remaining amount is returned to the taxpayer or distributed to other creditors of the taxpayer.

Law stated - 12 July 2022

#### Penalties - scope of application

In what circumstances may the tax authority impose penalties?

If a taxpayer underreports its payable tax amount, fails to file a tax return by the due date or fails to pay withholding tax by the due date, the tax authority will impose additional tax on the taxpayer as a penalty. In the case of tax evasion, additional aggravated tax will be imposed instead of the general additional taxes. Furthermore, a taxpayer who has violated tax laws may be subject to imprisonment of not more than 10 years, a fine of not more than the amount of tax evasion, or both.

Law stated - 12 July 2022

#### Penalties – calculation

How are penalties calculated?

The additional tax for underreporting is 10 per cent of the difference between the unreported and reported taxes (the 'Difference') plus 5 per cent of the difference between the Difference and the larger of ¥500,000 or the reported tax. In the case of a failure to file a tax return, the additional tax is 15 per cent of the unreported tax plus 5 per cent of the difference between the unreported tax and ¥500,000. The additional tax for a failure to pay withholding tax is 10 per cent of the unpaid amount. If a taxpayer files a tax return with the correct tax amount (after filing an earlier erroneous tax return) without having predicted a disposition by the tax authority, additional tax is reduced or not imposed according to the situation of the taxpayer.

For tax evasion, the rate of additional tax as a penalty is increased to 35 per cent (in the case of underreporting tax or not paying withholding tax), or 40 per cent (in the case of non-filing).



#### Penalties – defences

What defences are available if penalties are imposed?

Penalties are not imposed if there are reasonable grounds for the taxpayer's non-compliance with the laws. For example, if a certain interpretation of the laws has been customarily established in practice and the interpretation is later found by the court to be a misinterpretation, a taxpayer may be regarded as having reasonable grounds for underreporting the tax amount due to the misinterpretation. However, mere misunderstanding of the laws or reliance on professional advice (eg, legal or accounting advice) does not constitute reasonable grounds.

Law stated - 12 July 2022

#### Collecting and calculating interest

In what circumstances may the tax authority collect interest and how is it calculated?

Additional tax is payable on unpaid taxes as interest. The rate of additional tax on unpaid taxes is: 7.3 per cent per annum for the period up to the due date or the period up to the day on which two months have elapsed from the day following the due date; and 14.6 per cent thereafter until the date payment is completed.

Under the current rule, the 7.3 per cent and 14.6 per cent rates are reduced respectively to: 1 per cent plus a certain rate calculated based on the average rate of banks' new short-term loans; and 7.3 per cent plus the certain rate.

Interest tax is also payable on postponement of tax payment, tax payment in kind (to be made after the initial due date), or postponement of due date of tax return. The rate of interest tax shall be generally 1 per cent (0.5 per cent from 1 January 2021) plus a certain rate calculated based on the average rate of banks' new short-term loans.

Law stated - 12 July 2022

#### **Criminal consequences**

Can criminal consequences arise as a result of tax non-compliance? Are these different for different types of taxpayers?

Two major types of criminal consequences can arise from a tax review. The first is criminal punishment for obstructing a tax audit. A taxpayer who has failed to provide an answer, provided a false answer or obstructed an audit is punishable by imprisonment for up to one year or a fine of up to ¥500,000.

The second is criminal punishment for tax evasion. If a tax review reveals potential tax evasion, the National Tax Agency (NTA) is authorised to carry out a coercive investigation that is similar to the criminal investigation process. The NTA will report tax evasion that it discovers from such an investigation to the public prosecutors for criminal prosecution. A person who is prosecuted and convicted for tax evasion is punishable by imprisonment, a fine or both. The length of imprisonment and amount of fine depends on the type of tax and conduct, but imprisonment is no longer than 10 years and the fine is not more than the amount of tax evasion.

The above does not vary depending on the type of taxpayer.



#### Tax avoidance

Are there specific rules or provisions regarding perceived tax avoidance?

Japanese tax law contains general avoidance rules (ie, General Anti-Abuse Rules) which operate to bring about a disallowance of acts or calculations. Specifically, these rules are applicable to tax avoidance in the following contexts:

- family-owned corporations;
- in relation to organisational restructuring;
- · corporate groups within a group calculation framework; and
- regarding foreign entity profits that are attributable to a permanent establishment.

In recent cases, these rules have been applied especially to corporate intra-group reorganisations.

Law stated - 12 July 2022

#### **Enforcement record**

What is the recent enforcement record of the authorities?

The NTA announced that, in operation year 2020, the number of field examinations that it conducted at the sites of individual and corporate taxpayers are, respectively, approximately 23,804 (while 22.49 million individual tax returns were filed) and 25,000 (while 3.01 million corporate tax returns were filed). These field examinations revealed unreported income of ¥299.2 billion in individual income tax and ¥528.6 billion in corporation tax. These figures do not include examinations that involved simply contacting and giving instructions to taxpayers. In addition, the tax authorities conduct examinations of other taxes, such as consumption tax, inheritance tax, gift tax and withholding income tax.

Law stated - 12 July 2022

#### THIRD PARTIES AND OTHER AUTHORITIES

#### Third-party involvement with tax reviews

Can a tax authority involve third parties as part of the authority's review of a taxpayer's returns?

The tax authority may ask not only the taxpayer but also certain persons specified by the National Tax General Rule Act (eg, persons to whom the taxpayer is or was obligated to pay money) for relevant materials and ask them questions. By exercising this power, the tax authority can involve third parties. Even though taxpayers or third parties do not have any specific rights with respect to the involvement of third parties, the two requirements of tax audits (ie, necessity and lack of reasonable grounds) apply to tax audits involving third parties. The punishment is applicable to third parties, which means that a third party that has failed to provide an answer, provided a false answer or obstructed an audit is punishable by imprisonment for up to one year or a fine of up to ¥500,000.

Law stated - 12 July 2022

**Cooperation with other authorities** 



Does the tax authority cooperate with other authorities within the country? Does the tax authority cooperate with the tax authorities in other countries? (Describe, for example, tax information exchange agreements.)

Except that national and local tax authorities share certain information contained in tax returns or statutory reports, there is no law generally authorising the tax authority to cooperate, or share information that it obtained through its operations, with other authorities in Japan. However, there are some acts that explicitly empower the tax authority to do so in specific cases (eg, the Public Assistance Act (Act No. 144 of 1950)). At the same time, it has been strongly argued that the tax authority should not share such information with other authorities due to the duty of confidentiality of all national public officers. The Supreme Court has not issued a clear position on this matter, and therefore Japanese law on this issue remains unclear.

On the other hand, there are relatively clear rules on the cooperation of the Japanese tax authority with authorities of other countries. Under the tax treaties in force, the National Tax Agency (NTA) exchanges information with foreign tax authorities and collects data and information relating to taxpayers, including foreign corporations. In addition, the NTA cooperates with foreign authorities to resolve international double taxation issues.

Law stated - 12 July 2022

#### FINANCIAL OR OTHER HARDSHIP

#### Voluntary disclosure and amnesties

Do any special procedures apply in cases of financial or other hardship, for example when a taxpayer is bankrupt?

There is no single general rule aimed at dealing with taxpayers' hardship. However, some legislation provides rules that are applicable to specific cases of hardship. For example, there is legislation that provides for postponement of the due dates of taxes if certain conditions are satisfied.

Furthermore, the tax authority may suspend collection of taxes from taxpayers in certain kinds of hardship, such as a disaster, an illness or the closing of the taxpayer's business.

In addition to the postponement of due dates and suspension of collection, certain properties are prohibited from being seized to ensure that taxpayers have a minimum standard of living. Therefore, necessities such as clothes, bedding, furniture and also a portion of taxpayers' salaries cannot be seized for national taxes.

Law stated - 12 July 2022

#### Are there any voluntary disclosure or amnesty programmes?

Additional tax as a penalty that is to be imposed on a taxpayer who timely files a tax return to amend a previously filed tax return in which the tax amount was underreported, is reduced to 5 per cent per annum, as long as the taxpayer has not predicted a disposition by the tax authority. In addition, such additional tax is not imposed if the tax return for amendment is filed before a notice for review.

The rate of the additional tax is reduced to 10 per cent per annum if a tax return is overdue but it was not predicted that the tax authority would issue a disposition. In addition, such additional tax is reduced to 5 per cent per annum if the tax return is filed before a notice for review.



The rate of the additional tax on withholding income tax is reduced to 5 per cent per annum if the taxpayer pays the unpaid withholding tax amount without such a prediction.

Law stated - 12 July 2022

#### **RIGHTS OF TAXPAYERS**

#### **Rules protecting taxpayers**

What rules are in place to protect taxpayers when dealing with the tax authority?

The Japanese Constitution requires that all taxes be imposed by Acts of the Diet. The tax authority is required to give the taxpayer advance notification of the time, place, and purpose of the audit, relevant taxes, relevant years, books and materials to be investigated, and other items specified by the relevant cabinet order, such as the names of the officers.

Law stated - 12 July 2022

#### Requesting information from tax authority

How can taxpayers obtain information from the tax authority? What information can taxpayers request?

Taxpayers can obtain information from the tax authority under the Act on Access to Information Held by Administrative Organs (Act No. 42 of 1999). It sets out the right of taxpayers to access information held by the government by filing a claim to the head of the relevant administrative organisation, unless the requested information falls under any of the exempted categories specified by the Act, such as information that, if disclosed, will endanger the government's accurate understanding of the facts pertaining to tax collection.

Law stated - 12 July 2022

#### Oversight of tax authority governance

Is the tax authority subject to non-judicial oversight?

Tax authorities are supervised by their superior agencies. For example, a tax office is supervised by the regional taxation bureau that has jurisdiction over the relevant region. In addition, tax authorities have a yearly audit by the Board of Audit.

However, there is no procedure for a taxpayer to request oversight by a superior agency or the Board of Audit. Dispositions of tax authorities can be subject to administrative appeal if requested by taxpayers.

Law stated - 12 July 2022

#### **COURT PROCEEDINGS**

Competent courts

Which courts have jurisdiction to hear tax disputes?

There are no specialised courts for tax-related matters in Japan. Cases relating to tax matters are decided by ordinary courts. The rules under the Administrative Case Litigation Act (Act No. 139 of 1962) stipulate that more than one court can be specified as the forum of jurisdiction in many cases, and they are designed to include the Tokyo District Court as a forum in all cases in which the national government is the defendant.



Therefore, taxpayers can select the Tokyo District Court as the first instance forum for all cases involving national taxes.

Law stated - 12 July 2022

#### Lodging a claim

How can tax disputes be brought before the courts?

Prior to filing a claim with the court to cancel the disposition, the taxpayer is required to have undergone the administrative procedure, which is requesting administrative review. In particular, a taxpayer may file a lawsuit only if: (1) it files a complaint with the court within six months from the date of notice of the National Tax Tribunal's dismissal of the request for administrative review; or (2) the National Tax Tribunal fails to give a decision within three months of the taxpayer filing a request for administrative review.

In general, a person with a legal interest in the cancellation of the disposition has the standing to bring the claim. In most cases, the taxpayer, including a successor of the taxpayer, to whom the disposition was issued, has standing. There is no minimum threshold amount to bring a claim to the courts.

A disposition will be cancelled if the taxpayer or plaintiff's request for cancellation is upheld in a final and binding court decision. In such a case, the government will usually refund any tax that the taxpayer has paid based on the cancelled disposition after the decision of the court becomes final. However, if the government does not do so voluntarily, the taxpayer must file a separate claim for a refund.

Law stated - 12 July 2022

#### Combination of claims

Can tax claims affecting multiple tax returns or taxpayers be brought together?

Taxpayers can bring to court tax claims affecting multiple tax returns or taxpayers. However, this is subject to the requirement of relevance, which is detailed in statute.

Law stated - 12 July 2022

#### **Pre-claim payments**

Must the taxpayer pay the amounts in dispute into court before bringing a claim?

A disposition is valid until it is cancelled by an authority, including a court. This means that the taxpayer must pay the amount imposed by the disposition even while it is being disputed in court. If the taxpayer does not pay the imposed amount, the tax authority may collect the amount through statutory measures.

Law stated - 12 July 2022

#### **Cost recovery**

To what extent can the costs of a dispute be recovered?

At the time of filing, the court fees to file the claim must be paid by the taxpayer or plaintiff (their amounts are calculated based on the claimed amounts). In addition, the court fees for the examination of testifiers and other services are also required to be paid by the taxpayer when the taxpayer petitions for them.



Lexology GTDT - Tax Controversy

The court usually awards to the losing party the costs that arose from the administrative matters of the case (ie, the court fees above). Administrative costs can therefore be recovered by the taxpayer if the taxpayer or plaintiff is successful. Not all actual costs borne by the taxpayer are recoverable, which means that a successful taxpayer cannot recover any attorneys' fees from the government or defendant.

Law stated - 12 July 2022

#### **Third-party funding**

Are there any restrictions on or rules relating to third-party funding or insurance for the costs of a tax dispute, including bringing a tax claim to court?

There is no restriction on, or rule relating to, third-party funding or insurance for the costs of a tax dispute.

Law stated - 12 July 2022

#### Availability of jury trials

Who is the decision maker in the court? Is a jury trial available to hear tax disputes?

Tax litigation is heard and decided by a panel of judges in ordinary courts. With regard to criminal cases, while there is a judicial system known as Saiban-in Seido, under which citizens and judges form a panel that decides a case, this system is not applicable to tax litigation.

Law stated - 12 July 2022

#### **Time frames**

What are the usual time frames for tax hearings?

The Supreme Court published that, for administrative cases (including tax cases), the average period in 2020 for: (1) a first-instance decision was 15.9 months; (2) an appeal court decision was 7.6 months; and (3) a Supreme Court decision was 4.2 or 4.5 months (depending on the form of appeal). The time frame for tax trials varies from case to case depending on various factors. However, it tends to take longer if the issues in the case are complicated and the disputed amount is large. For example, a recent case that involved corporate restructuring, in which approximately ¥30 billion was disputed, took around three years between filing and the Tokyo District Court issuing a first-instance decision, and around eight months between the first-instance decision and the appeal court decision of the Tokyo High Court. In that case, the Supreme Court delivered its decision 14 months after the appeal against the decision of the Tokyo High Court.

Law stated - 12 July 2022

#### **Disclosure requirements**

What are the requirements concerning disclosure or a duty to present information for trial?

As in all litigation concerning civil and administrative matters, a party may file a petition for the court to order the holder of the documentary evidence to submit it (the Petition for Order to Submit Document). A Petition for Order to Submit Document should be filed by clarifying:



- the title of the document;
- · a summary of the contents of the document;
- the holder of the document;
- the facts to be proven by the document; and
- the grounds for the obligation to submit the document.

Unless there are statutory reasons otherwise, the holder may not refuse to submit the document. However, in certain cases, a Petition for Order to Submit Document will be dismissed unless this is necessary to make the request to examine documentary evidence.

Coverage of a Petition for Order to Submit Document is limited and there is no broad discovery process in Japan.

Law stated - 12 July 2022

#### **Permitted evidence**

What evidence is permitted in tax hearings?

As in all litigation concerning civil and administrative matters, testifiers, experts and documentary evidence are permitted in tax litigation.

Tax litigation generally adopts a cross-examination system for examination of testifiers. Under the system, a person examined before the court is asked questions by the party who has requested the examination, the other party and the judge (in this order). Any person, including the taxpayer or experts, can be examined if the court finds, upon application by either the plaintiff or the defendant, that the person's statement is relevant to the case. There are only clerical differences between examination of a party to the case and examination of a third party.

Under article 138 of the Civil Procedure Regulation (Supreme Court Regulation No. 5 of 1996), a party filing evidence prepared in a language other than Japanese must attach a translation thereof to the evidence.

Law stated - 12 July 2022

#### **Permitted representation**

Who can represent taxpayers in a tax trial? Who represents the tax authority?

As in all litigation concerning civil and administrative matters, taxpayers can represent themselves in tax litigation. Taxpayers can also be represented by qualified attorneys. A certified public tax accountant can attend hearings and make allegations to the court as an assistant of the taxpayer and the attorney. The tax authority is represented by government officers.

Law stated - 12 July 2022

#### **Publicity of proceedings**

Are tax hearings public?

Court proceedings in tax cases are generally held at hearings that are open to the public. However, the court can choose to adopt non-public procedures, such as preparatory proceedings. Although case records are generally available to the public, only the parties to a case and third parties with legal interests in the case can obtain copies of the records. Further, the court can restrict the disclosure of the records if the records contain material disclosing a



party's private life secret or a trade secret.

Law stated - 12 July 2022

#### Burden of proof

#### Who has the burden of proof in tax hearings?

In general, the government or defendant has the burden of proof of legality of the disposition at issue. In theory, this requires the government to prove the existence of the facts that form the basis of the tax and the tax amount. In practice, however, a taxpayer or plaintiff cannot be successful in cancelling a disposition unless it presents detailed facts and evidence to support the allegation that the disposition is illegal.

Further, there are exceptions to the general rule that the government or defendant bears the burden of proof. For example, the defence of reasonable grounds, which relieves a taxpayer or plaintiff from the additional penalty tax, is available only to taxpayers who successfully prove the existence of such reasonable grounds. Further, in certain statutorily provided situations, the government is allowed to estimate the taxpayer's income based on general information about the taxpayer, such as changes in the amount of the taxpayer's assets or debts.

Law stated - 12 July 2022

#### Case management process

What is the case management process for a tax hearing?

The process varies on a case-by-case basis, but the usual process is as follows:

- the taxpayer or plaintiff files a complaint to the court with jurisdiction;
- the first hearing date is scheduled to be held one and a half months or more from the filing date;
- · several hearings are held before examination and issuance of the court's decision;
- testimony is heard from testifiers or the taxpayer, or both (if necessary);
- · during the intervals between the hearings, the parties submit briefs and evidence to the court;
- · the court decides on the case; and
- the losing party may file an appeal under a three-tiered judicial system.

Law stated - 12 July 2022

#### Appeal

Can a court decision be appealed? If so, on what basis?

As in other cases, a three-tiered judicial system is applicable to tax cases. Under the system, if a taxpayer is dissatisfied with the judgment of the first instance court, the taxpayer may appeal to one of the High Courts of Japan within two weeks from the date on which the judgment is delivered to the losing party. If the decision of the High Court is unsatisfactory, subject to certain requirements, an appeal may be made to the Supreme Court of Japan within two weeks from the delivery of the judgment.



#### **UPDATE AND TRENDS**

#### Key developments of the past year

What are the current trends in enforcement of tax controversies? What are the current concerns of the authorities and taxpayers in relation to the enforcement and handling of tax controversies and are these likely to change? Are there proposals to change the relevant legislation or other rules?

The Japanese tax authority has strengthened the enforcement for wealth management activities of high-net worth. Against this background, in recent years, there have been several significant tax disputes on the evaluation of assets that are transferred or acquired in the process of wealth management activities. Under Japanese tax practice, in transactions among individuals or related persons, assets are generally evaluated by the general uniform method specified under the administrative circular named 'National Tax Agency Basic Instructions on Evaluation of Assets' (the 'Instructions'), so that differences of evaluation between the actual fair market value of the assets and the evaluation price calculated according to the Instructions are often used for mitigating tax impact in the process of wealth management activities. These tax disputes are of a nature whereby the tax authority challenges the evaluation price calculated according to the Instructions.

For example, a Supreme Court decision dated 19 April 2022, where the Japanese tax authority challenged the evaluation of real estate that was evaluated at a lower price than fair market value in the calculation of inheritance taxes, found in favour of the tax authority. In this case, the Supreme Court focused on the fact that the decedent acquired the real estate to mitigate the tax impact of inheritance taxes.

In addition, a Supreme Court decision dated 24 March 2020, where the tax authority denied and recalculated the price of shares which the main shareholder of the corporation transferred to a related corporation in the process of wealth management activities, also found in favour of the tax authority. In this case, the main discussion was whether the fair market value of the shares should be calculated under the shareholding ratios before the transfer, or under those after the transfer.

Japanese tax law imposes inheritance taxes and the gift taxes on the free transfer of assets among individuals, and the threshold of these taxes are relatively small. From this point of view, trends in matters of enforcement will undoubtedly continue to play out.



# Jurisdictions

Australia	Gadens
Austria	bpv Hügel Rechtsanwälte GmbH
🔶 Canada	McCarthy Tétrault LLP
Ecuador	CorralRosales
European Union	Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer
Germany	Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer
Greece	Dryllerakis & Associates
Ireland	Matheson
Italy	Chiomenti Studio Legale
Japan	Anderson Mōri & Tomotsune
Luxembourg	Arendt & Medernach
Netherlands	De Brauw Blackstone Westbroek
Norway	KPMG Law
e Portugal	Durham Agrellos
▲ Spain	Ashurst LLP
Switzerland	Bär & Karrer
* Taiwan	Chien Yeh Law Offices
Ukraine	GOLAW
United Arab Emirates	Wasel & Wasel Ltd
United Kingdom	Macfarlanes LLP

