

Corporate Governance 2021

Contributing editor
Holly J Gregory



Publisher

Tom Barnes
tom.barnes@lbresearch.com

Subscriptions

Claire Bagnall
claire.bagnall@lbresearch.com

Senior business development manager

Adam Sargent
adam.sargent@gettingthedealthrough.com


Published by

Law Business Research Ltd
Meridian House, 34-35 Farringdon Street
London, EC4A 4HL, UK

The information provided in this publication is general and may not apply in a specific situation. Legal advice should always be sought before taking any legal action based on the information provided. This information is not intended to create, nor does receipt of it constitute, a lawyer-client relationship. The publishers and authors accept no responsibility for any acts or omissions contained herein. The information provided was verified between April and May 2021. Be advised that this is a developing area.

© Law Business Research Ltd 2021
No photocopying without a CLA licence.
First published 2002
Twentieth edition
ISBN 978-1-83862-640-2

Printed and distributed by
Encompass Print Solutions
Tel: 0844 2480 112



Corporate Governance 2021

Contributing editor

Holly J Gregory
Sidley Austin LLP

Lexology Getting The Deal Through is delighted to publish the twentieth edition of *Corporate Governance*, which is available in print and online at www.lexology.com/gtdt.

Lexology Getting The Deal Through provides international expert analysis in key areas of law, practice and regulation for corporate counsel, cross-border legal practitioners, and company directors and officers.

Throughout this edition, and following the unique Lexology Getting The Deal Through format, the same key questions are answered by leading practitioners in each of the jurisdictions featured. Our coverage this year includes a new chapter on Australia.

Lexology Getting The Deal Through titles are published annually in print. Please ensure you are referring to the latest edition or to the online version at www.lexology.com/gtdt.

Every effort has been made to cover all matters of concern to readers. However, specific legal advice should always be sought from experienced local advisers.

Lexology Getting The Deal Through gratefully acknowledges the efforts of all the contributors to this volume, who were chosen for their recognised expertise. We also extend special thanks to the contributing editor, Holly J Gregory, for her continued assistance with this volume.



London
May 2021

Reproduced with permission from Law Business Research Ltd
This article was first published in June 2021
For further information please contact editorial@gettingthedealthrough.com

Contents

Australia	3	Netherlands	101
Heather Richardson and Denise Wightman Kalus Kenny Intalex		Pieter van den Berg and Sophie Umans Buren NV	
Brazil	11	Nigeria	109
Enrique Tello Hadad and Rachel Rennó Loeser e Hadad Advogados		Tamuno Atekebo, Otome Okolo, Miriam Anozie and Feyikemi Fatunmbi Streamsowers & Köhn	
China	20	North Macedonia	123
Jan Holthuis, Li Jiao and Jing Wang Buren NV		Kristijan Polenak and Tatjana Siskovska Polenak Law Firm	
France	31	South Korea	137
Alexis Chahid-Nourai Aramis		Ho Joon Moon, Kyung Chun Kim and David Choi Lee & Ko	
Germany	40	Switzerland	145
Eva Nase POELLATH		Thomas Schmid, Stefan Scherrer and Norbert Schenk BianchiSchwald LLC	
India	49	Thailand	152
Rahul Chadha, Ashish Gupta and Arijit Adaval Chadha & Co		Nuanporn Wechsuwanarux, Chotiwtut Sukpradub, Kornkitti Sivamoke and Thanachart Osathanondh Chandler MHM Limited	
Italy	59	Turkey	162
Francesca Ricci, Giuseppe Coco and Roberto Leccese Ughi e Nunziante		Görkem Bilgin and Edanur Atlı Gün + Partners	
Japan	74	United States	174
Takeshi Watanabe Anderson Mōri & Tomotsune		Holly J Gregory, Rebecca Grapsas and Claire H Holland Sidley Austin LLP	
Luxembourg	82	Vietnam	197
Chantal Keereman and Frédéric Lemoine Bonn & Schmitt		Hikaru Oguchi, Taro Hirosawa and Vu Le Bang Nishimura & Asahi	
Malaysia	91		
To' Puan Janet Looi and Alia Abdullah SKRINE			

Japan

Takeshi Watanabe

Anderson Mōri & Tomotsune

SOURCES OF CORPORATE GOVERNANCE RULES AND PRACTICES

Primary sources of law, regulation and practice

- 1 | What are the primary sources of law, regulation and practice relating to corporate governance? Is it mandatory for listed companies to comply with listing rules or do they apply on a 'comply or explain' basis?

The Companies Act, its subordinate rules and the rules of stock exchanges govern issues relating to the incorporation, organisation, operation and administration of corporations. In addition, the Financial Instruments and Exchange Act and the rules of stock exchanges regulate the disclosure of information by listed corporations. Further, the Japan Corporate Auditors Association has published a Code of Kansayaku Auditing Standards as standards for corporate auditors in the conventional 'corporate auditor' type of governance structure. The Corporate Governance Code published jointly by the Financial Supervisory Agency and the Tokyo Stock Exchange became effective from 1 June 2015 through amendment of the rules of the stock exchanges. Most of the rules of stock exchanges are mandatory rules but the provisions in the rules relating to the Corporate Governance Code apply on a comply or explain basis.

Responsible entities

- 2 | What are the primary government agencies or other entities responsible for making such rules and enforcing them? Are there any well-known shareholder or business groups, or proxy advisory firms, whose views are often considered?

There are no specific government agencies or other bodies responsible for enforcing the statutes except for the courts; however, commentaries authored by officials of the Department of Justice are sometimes relied upon. The rules of stock exchanges are enforced by the exchanges through a listing agreement between the exchange and the listed company. There are no well-known shareholder rights protection groups whose views are considered.

THE RIGHTS AND EQUITABLE TREATMENT OF SHAREHOLDERS AND EMPLOYEES

Shareholder powers

- 3 | What powers do shareholders have to appoint or remove directors or require the board to pursue a particular course of action? What shareholder vote is required to elect or remove directors?

Directors of a stock corporation are elected at the general meeting of shareholders by a simple majority of votes (where shareholders hold at least a majority (or a lesser number set forth in its articles of

incorporation but at least one-third) of voting rights present) unless otherwise provided for in its articles of incorporation. A director of a stock corporation can be removed at the general meeting of shareholders by a simple majority of votes unless also otherwise provided for. Shareholders of a stock corporation do not have the direct power to decide the course of action of the corporation except for certain material actions, such as mergers and corporate splits. They can do so only through the appointment of directors and proposals at general meetings of shareholders. A stock corporation can issue special shares that have voting rights only in respect of items specified in the articles of incorporation. Thus, shareholders with limited voting rights cannot appoint or remove directors if the items listed in the articles of incorporation do not include such an appointment or removal. Further, the articles of incorporation can specify items that require the approval of a meeting of holders of a specific type of shares. Therefore, if the articles of incorporation provide that the appointment or removal of directors requires the approval of a specific type of shareholder, these shareholders have the right of veto in respect of the appointment or removal of directors.

Non-public stock corporations can issue a class of shares that carries exclusive power to appoint a certain number of directors, but this type of share is not permitted for public corporations.

Shareholder decisions

- 4 | What decisions must be reserved to the shareholders? What matters are required to be subject to a non-binding shareholder vote?

The scope of decisions reserved to the shareholders differs depending on the type of governance structure adopted by corporations. The following shows the scope for corporations that have adopted the corporate auditor-type governance structure:

- appointment and dismissal of directors, statutory accounting advisers, corporate auditors (corporate auditors do not exist in corporations that adopted the committee-type governance structure) and accounting auditors;
- payment of dividends and disposition of loss (with certain exceptions);
- payment of dividends in kind;
- determination of remuneration for directors, statutory accounting advisers and corporate auditors;
- discharge of liabilities of directors, statutory accounting advisers, corporate auditors, executive officers and accounting auditors (unless the articles of incorporation give this authority to the board of directors);
- amendments of the articles of incorporation;
- issuance of shares at especially favourable prices;
- issuance of stock options at especially favourable prices;
- changes of types of corporations;
- mergers;

- corporate splits;
- statutory share transfers (a procedure to create a wholly owning parent above an existing corporation by operation of law);
- statutory share exchanges (a procedure under which one corporation becomes a wholly-owned subsidiary of another corporation by operation of law);
- statutory share delivery (a procedure to acquire shares of another corporation as a subsidiary);
- transfers of all or a material part of the business;
- leases of all the business;
- entrustment of all the business to another party;
- agreements to share all the profit with another party;
- acceptance of the entire business of another corporation;
- acquisition of material assets within two years of its incorporation;
- authorisations to purchase its own shares for counter value with certain exceptions;
- acquisition of special shares that are specified as shares that may be acquired by the issuing corporation in their entirety by a resolution of shareholders;
- consolidation of shares;
- capital reductions;
- reductions of legal reserves; and
- dissolution of the corporation.

While non-binding shareholders are not required to vote, the management of companies sometimes try to obtain shareholder resolutions to support their actions.

Disproportionate voting rights

- 5 | **To what extent are disproportionate voting rights or limits on the exercise of voting rights allowed?**

Under the Companies Act, a stock corporation may adopt the unit system for its shares where one voting right is granted to one unit of shares. For example, if a corporation's articles of incorporation provide that 1,000 shares of common stock constitute one unit, a shareholder that owns 2,000 common shares has two votes for his or her shares. The number of shares constituting one unit for one class of shares can be different from that for another class of shares. So, if the corporation sets different numbers for different classes of shares, it can effectively give disproportionate voting rights. In addition, a corporation can issue shares with limited voting rights (namely, shares that do not have voting rights in respect of the items specified in the articles of incorporation of the corporation). Lastly, the articles of incorporation of the company may provide that certain matters that are subject to the approval of a general meeting of shareholders or approval of the board of directors also require the approval of the meeting of a certain class of shareholders.

Shareholders' meetings and voting

- 6 | **Are there any special requirements for shareholders to participate in general meetings of shareholders or to vote? Can shareholders act by written consent without a meeting? Are virtual meetings of shareholders permitted?**

To attend and vote at a general meeting of shareholders, a shareholder must have his or her name registered in the register of shareholders of the corporation. Once his or her name is registered, it will remain on the register until the shareholder transfers the relevant shares to a third party, and this transfer is logged in the register. A shareholder may delegate authority to another person to act as a proxy. However, under their articles of incorporation, many corporations require that this other person also be a shareholder. A shareholders' resolution can be passed

if all the shareholders agree in writing. As such a written resolution requires unanimous agreement, in practice, a listed corporation cannot pass a written resolution. A stock corporation can designate more than one place to have a shareholders' meeting, but audio (or chat) and visual connections must be established in all places.

Shareholders and the board

- 7 | **Are shareholders able to require meetings of shareholders to be convened, resolutions and director nominations to be put to a shareholder vote against the wishes of the board, or the board to circulate statements by dissident shareholders?**

A shareholder that has held 3 per cent or more of the entire voting rights for the previous six months has the right to require that directors of the corporation convene a general meeting of shareholders (the scope of qualified shareholders can be expanded by the articles of incorporation). If directors fail to convene a general meeting of shareholders without delay, the requesting shareholder may convene a meeting after obtaining the approval of the court. A shareholder who has held 1 per cent or more of the entire voting rights or 300 or more voting rights for the previous six months has the right to require the corporation to include its proposals (including a list of director candidates) in the agenda of the general meeting of shareholders by sending written notice to that effect to the corporation eight weeks prior to the date of the meeting (the scope of qualified shareholders can be expanded by the articles of incorporation). Shareholders do not have the right to require the board to circulate their dissenting statements.

Controlling shareholders' duties

- 8 | **Do controlling shareholders owe duties to the company or to non-controlling shareholders? If so, can an enforcement action be brought against controlling shareholders for breach of these duties?**

There are no specific provisions in the Companies Act or established court precedents that establish the duties of controlling shareholders. However, a resolution of a general meeting of shareholders can be nullified through a resolution nullification suit if the resolution is unduly tainted as a result of the exercise of voting rights by one or more shareholders with a special interest in the resolution. A resolution nullification suit must be filed with the court within three months of the date of the relevant shareholders' meeting.

Shareholder responsibility

- 9 | **Can shareholders ever be held responsible for the acts or omissions of the company?**

Theoretically, a shareholder could be held responsible for the acts or omissions of the company if a director representing the company commits a tort when he or she is an employee of the shareholder and acts under the control of that shareholder, or a director representing the company and the relevant shareholder jointly commit a tort. However, a shareholder will not be held responsible solely for the exercise of (or the failure to exercise) his or her voting rights even if the voting is a decisive factor in the general meeting of shareholders.

Employees

- 10 | **What role do employees have in corporate governance?**

Legally, employees do not have any role in corporate governance in Japan. As a minimum matter of course, in many instances, the management of a corporation consults the union or the representative of employees when it wishes to conduct major corporate restructuring.

CORPORATE CONTROL

Anti-takeover devices

11 | Are anti-takeover devices permitted?

Many listed Japanese corporations have adopted various types of anti-takeover devices. Most of them are structured to enable the board of directors to issue stock acquisition rights that cannot be exercised by a hostile acquirer. The validity of these devices has, however, not been fully tested by the courts. Recently, there is a trend to abolish this type of anti-takeover device in response to demands from institutional investors.

Issuance of new shares

12 | May the board be permitted to issue new shares without shareholder approval? Do shareholders have pre-emptive rights to acquire newly issued shares?

In the case of listed corporations, as long as the issue price is nearly equal to the market price, the board can issue new shares without shareholder approval under the Companies Act. However, the rules of the Tokyo Stock Exchange require:

- an independent party opinion confirming the necessity and appropriateness of the issuance; or
- shareholder approval if:
 - the number of the new shares is 25 per cent or more of the outstanding shares; or
 - the issuance results in a change of controlling shareholder.

Restrictions on the transfer of fully paid shares

13 | Are restrictions on the transfer of fully paid shares permitted and, if so, what restrictions are commonly adopted?

No share transfer restrictions enforceable by the corporation itself are allowed in the case of listed corporations. Agreements among large shareholders sometimes contain this type of provision. In the case of non-listed corporations, the Companies Act allows a corporation to have a provision in its articles of incorporation where the transfer of shares requires approval of the board of directors. If a shareholder of such a corporation wishes to sell his or her shares, but the board of directors does not approve such a transfer, the shareholder may require the board of directors to appoint a purchaser who is acceptable to them.

If a listed corporation amends its articles of incorporation to include such a provision, its shares are delisted in accordance with stock exchange listing rules.

Compulsory repurchase rules

14 | Are compulsory share repurchases allowed? Can they be made mandatory in certain circumstances?

A corporation may not directly force its shareholders to sell their shares to it unless such a compulsory repurchase is specifically provided for in its articles of incorporation as a characteristic of the relevant shares. A corporation can effectively force its shareholders to sell their shares by attaching this repurchase provision by the resolution of a shareholders' meeting in which a large shareholder has a controlling stake. Further, a shareholder holding 90 per cent or more may force the other shareholders to sell their shares to him or her under the special provisions in the Companies Act.

Dissenters' rights

15 | Do shareholders have appraisal rights?

Yes. Shareholders have appraisal rights in cases of mergers, corporate splits, statutory share exchanges, statutory share transfers and certain changes of the terms of shares.

RESPONSIBILITIES OF THE BOARD (SUPERVISORY)

Board structure

16 | Is the predominant board structure for listed companies best categorised as one-tier or two-tier?

The conventional Japanese governance structure is one-tier. The board of directors consists of all the directors of the corporation, including directors who can represent the company (namely, representative directors). In addition, a listed corporation has a board of corporate auditors consisting of at least three corporate auditors (in the case of a corporation with a stated capital of ¥500 million or more or with total debts of ¥20 billion) or at least one corporate auditor (in the case of other corporations) whose duty, in both cases, is to audit the directors' conduct. The Companies Act also allows two types of two-tier governance structures. One is a committee-type structure consisting of the board of directors (appointed by the shareholders), its three committees (audit, nomination and compensation) and executive officers appointed by the board. The other is an audit committee-type structure consisting of the board of directors and an audit committee. Members of the audit committee are directors separately elected as such at the shareholders' meeting.

Board's legal responsibilities

17 | What are the board's primary legal responsibilities?

In the case of corporations that have adopted the conventional corporate auditor-type governance structure, the board of directors determines all management matters unless they are specifically reserved for a general meeting of shareholders under the Companies Act (such as a merger) or they are delegated by the board to a representative director (a director with the power to represent and bind the corporation, who is also a member of the board). The Companies Act specifically requires a board resolution if a corporation wishes to conduct any material actions including, but not limited to, the following actions:

- disposition or acceptance of important assets;
- borrowing of substantial amounts of money;
- appointment and dismissal of managers and other important employees;
- establishment, change and abolition of branches and material organisations;
- determination of material items relating to the issuance of bonds;
- determination of a corporate governance system; and
- discharge of liabilities of directors, statutory accounting advisers, corporate auditors, statutory executive officers and accounting auditors authorised by the articles of incorporation.

The board may not delegate these items to a director. In the case of corporations that adopt the committee-type governance structure, the board may, and normally does, commission most of the powers to executive officers appointed and supervised by the board. In the case of corporations that adopt the audit committee-type governance structure, the board may delegate most of the decision-making powers to individual directors if the majority of its directors are outside directors or the articles of incorporation contain provisions to allow this delegation.

Board obligees

18 | Whom does the board represent and to whom do directors owe legal duties?

The board of directors is the decision-making body of a corporation. Each director owes fiduciary duties to the corporation. Therefore, he or she may not act for the benefit of a major shareholder if such an action is against the interests of the shareholders as a whole. Further, directors are required by the Companies Act to exercise the duty of care of a prudent manager in performing their duties.

Enforcement action against directors

19 | Can an enforcement action against directors be brought by, or on behalf of, those to whom duties are owed? Is there a business judgement rule?

A corporate auditor (a person elected at the general meeting of shareholders) of a corporation that has adopted the conventional corporate auditor-type governance structure may apply to the court seeking injunctive relief if the conduct of a director goes beyond the objectives of the corporation or violates the law or the articles of incorporation, or the conduct is threatening and it would cause material damage to the corporation. Members of the audit committee of a corporation that has adopted the committee-type governance structure and members of the audit committee of a corporation that has adopted the audit committee-type governance structure also have the same power. A shareholder who has held shares in the corporation for the preceding six-month period may also apply for injunctive relief if there is a possibility that the conduct by a director would cause 'substantially material' damage to the corporation. The courts apply a business judgement rule when evaluating the legality of directors' conducts.

Care and prudence

20 | Do the duties of directors include a care or prudence element?

Each director owes fiduciary duties to the corporation. A director is also required to exercise the duty of care of a prudent manager in performing his or her duties. A director may not engage in business that competes with the business of the corporation unless he or she first obtains the board's approval. Further, a director may not enter into a transaction with the corporation unless he or she first obtains board approval. Even if a director obtains board approval in connection with a transaction with the corporation, he or she is still liable for any damages incurred by the corporation as the result of such a transaction.

Board member duties

21 | To what extent do the duties of individual members of the board differ?

As a general rule, the duties of individual members of the board do not differ from each other, irrespective of the difference in skill or experience. In the case of a corporation that has adopted a conventional corporate auditor-type governance structure, however, there is no separation of the functions of directors and those of officers in charge of the day-to-day management of the corporation. So, in most corporations, each director also serves as an officer in charge of a specific aspect of management of the corporation. In this sense, the duties of individual members of the board may differ. In the case of a corporation that has adopted a committee-type governance structure, the members of each committee perform additional duties. The same applies to members of the audit committee in a corporation that has adopted the audit committee-type governance structure.

Delegation of board responsibilities

22 | To what extent can the board delegate responsibilities to management, a board committee or board members, or other persons?

In the case of a corporation that has adopted the conventional corporate auditor-type governance structure, in principle, the board acts as a management body as well as a supervising body. But the board may delegate its responsibilities to each director except for material matters regarding the business of the corporation (including but not limited to those specifically identified in the Companies Act) and the following matters:

- disposition or acceptance of important assets;
- borrowing of a substantial amount of money;
- appointment and dismissal of managers and other important employees;
- establishment, change and abolition of branches and material organisations;
- determination of material items relating to the issuance of bonds;
- determination of the corporate governance system; and
- discharge of the liabilities of directors, statutory accounting advisers, corporate auditors, statutory executive officers and accounting auditors authorised by the articles of incorporation.

In the case of a corporation that has adopted the committee-type governance structure, the board is expected to act mainly as supervising body and can delegate management decisions to statutory executive officers except for the limited number of items specified in the Companies Act. The board is also required to determine the following items:

- the management policy;
- items necessary for the operation of the audit committee;
- the allocation of duties among statutory executive officers and matters relating to the relationships between statutory executive officers;
- identification of the director to whom statutory executive officers should request the convocation of a meeting of the board of directors; and
- a framework to ensure appropriate management of the corporation.

In the case of a corporation that has adopted the audit committee-type governance structure, the board can delegate management decisions to individual directors except for the limited number of items specified in the Companies Act if the majority of its directors are outside directors or the articles of incorporation contain provisions to allow this delegation. The board is also required to determine the following items: the management policy; items necessary for the operation of the audit committee; and a framework to ensure appropriate management of the corporation.

Non-executive and independent directors

23 | Is there a minimum number of 'non-executive' or 'independent' directors required by law, regulation or listing requirement? If so, what is the definition of 'non-executive' and 'independent' directors and how do their responsibilities differ from executive directors?

If a listed corporation, which has adopted the conventional corporate auditor-type governance structure, does not have an outside director, it must explain, at the annual general meeting of shareholders, why it is appropriate not to have an outside director. In other words, the Companies Act strongly recommends that listed corporations have at least one outside director. An 'outside director' is defined as a director who:

- is not an executive director, statutory executive officer, manager or other employee of the corporation or any of its subsidiaries;
- has not served as executive director, statutory executive director, manager or other employee of the corporation or any of its subsidiaries for the 10-year period immediately preceding the appointment as a director;
- is not a director, statutory executive officer, manager or other employee of its parent corporation;
- is not an executive director, statutory executive officer, manager or other employee of any of the subsidiaries of its parent corporation; and
- is not related to any of the directors, statutory executive officers, managers or other important employees of the corporation.

There are some additional rules relating to the qualification of 'outside' directors. In the case of a corporation that has adopted the committee-type governance structure, it must establish three committees (audit, nomination and compensation committees) and appoint one or more executive officers. Each committee must consist of at least three directors (a majority of whom must be outside directors). None of the members of the audit committee may hold the position of statutory executive officer, executive director, manager or employee of the corporation or any of its subsidiaries or statutory accounting adviser of any of the subsidiaries. In the case of a corporation that adopted the audit committee-type governance structure, it has to establish an audit committee. The audit committee must consist of at least three directors (a majority of whom must be outside directors). Each member of the audit committee of this type of corporation is a director elected as such at the general meeting of shareholders. None of the members of the audit committee of this type of corporation may hold the position of executive director, manager or other employee of the corporation, or statutory accounting adviser or statutory executive officer of any of the subsidiaries of the corporation.

Legally, the responsibility of the outside directors is the same as those not classified as outside directors, provided, however, that a corporation can adopt articles of incorporation authorising it to enter into an agreement with each of the outside directors and non-executive directors to limit the maximum amount of monetary liability of these directors.

Stock exchange rules require a listed corporation to have at least one independent officer. An 'independent officer' is defined as an outside director or corporate auditor whose interests do not conflict with that of general shareholders. Further, under the Corporate Governance Code, listed companies are urged to have at least two independent outside directors.

Board size and composition

- 24** How is the size of the board determined? Are there minimum and maximum numbers of seats on the board? Who is authorised to make appointments to fill vacancies on the board or newly created directorships? Are there criteria that individual directors or the board as a whole must fulfil? Are there any disclosure requirements relating to board composition?

Articles of incorporation of a Japanese stock corporation provide the minimum or maximum number of directors. Further, under the Companies Act, a corporation with a board of directors (a listed corporation always has a board) must have at least three directors. Vacancies must be filled with the resolution of the general meeting of shareholders.

The Corporate Governance Code requires that a listed corporation should have directors that can effectively perform their roles and responsibilities (from knowledge, experience and capacity perspectives). Listed companies are required to disclose their responses to this requirement in their corporate governance reports.

Board leadership

- 25** Is there any law, regulation, listing requirement or practice that requires the separation of the functions of board chair and CEO? If flexibility on board leadership is allowed, what is generally recognised as best practice and what is the common practice?

The Companies Act does not require the separation of the functions of board chair and chief executive or president. In a corporation that has adopted the corporate auditor-type governance structure or audit committee-type governance structure, the board of directors appoints one or more representative directors from among themselves. A representative director represents, and may legally bind, the corporation. Customarily, one of the representative directors is the president and another is the chair. If there is a chair, he or she customarily serves as chair at board meetings. If there is no chair, the president customarily serves as chair at these meetings. The position of chair at meetings is customarily provided for in the articles of incorporation or the regulations of the board of directors of the corporation. In a corporation that has adopted the committee-type governance structure, the board appoints statutory executive officers, who run the day-to-day business of the corporation, and the representative statutory executive officer or officers, who represent the corporation and can legally bind it. Statutory executive officers may be elected from among the directors. One of the representative statutory executive officers customarily uses the title of CEO.

Board committees

- 26** What board committees are mandatory? What board committees are allowed? Are there mandatory requirements for committee composition?

In the case of a corporation that has adopted the corporate auditor-type governance structure, board committees are not mandatory. Although the corporation may have internal board committees, these are not legally recognised bodies under the Companies Act.

In the case of a corporation that has adopted the committee-type governance structure, the corporation must set up the nomination, audit and compensation committees and appoint one or more executive officers. Each committee must consist of at least three directors (a majority of whom must be external directors not also serving as executive officers). None of the members of the audit committee may be a statutory executive officer, executive director, manager or employee of the corporation or any of its subsidiaries or statutory accounting adviser of any of the subsidiaries. The nomination committee has the power to determine proposals to be submitted to the general meeting of shareholders as to the appointment and removal of directors. The audit committee has the power to audit the performance of directors and statutory executive officers and to determine proposals to be submitted to the general meeting of shareholders as to the appointment, removal or non-renewal of outside accounting auditors. The compensation committee has the power to determine the compensation payable to directors, statutory executive officers and statutory accounting advisers.

In the case of a corporation that has adopted the audit committee-type governance structure, it must establish an audit committee. The audit committee must consist of at least three directors (a majority of whom must be outside directors). Each member of the audit committee of this type of corporation is a director elected as such at the general meeting of shareholders. None of the members of the audit committee of this type of corporation may hold the position of executive director, manager or other employee of the corporation, or statutory accounting adviser or statutory executive officer of any of the subsidiaries of the corporation.

Board meetings

27 | Is a minimum or set number of board meetings per year required by law, regulation or listing requirement?

The Companies Act requires that each representative director and executive director of a corporation that has adopted the corporate auditor-type governance structure or the audit committee-type governance structure reports on how he or she has been carrying out the business to the board of directors at least once every three months. Therefore, the meeting of the board of directors must be held at least once every three months. In the case of a corporation that has adopted the committee-type governance structure, similar obligations are imposed on executive officers. Therefore, the meeting of the board of directors must be held at least once every three months.

Board practices

28 | Is disclosure of board practices required by law, regulation or listing requirement?

The governance structure of the corporation is registered in the commercial register. The corporation's commercial register is a public record. If it is necessary for a shareholder of a corporation or a shareholder of the parent of a corporation to exercise his or her rights, he or she can access and make copies of the minutes of the board meetings after obtaining court permission. A creditor of a corporation can also apply for court permission if this access is necessary to claim compensation for damages incurred against a director, statutory accounting adviser, corporate auditor or statutory executive officer of the corporation.

Board and director evaluations

29 | Is there any law, regulation, listing requirement or practice that requires evaluation of the board, its committees or individual directors? How regularly are such evaluations conducted and by whom? What do companies disclose in relation to such evaluations?

Under the Corporate Governance Code, which is enforced only on a 'comply or explain' basis, the board of directors is required to analyse and evaluate the effectivity of the board management every year and disclose the outline of the result of this analysis and valuation to the public. While the valuation must be made through self-evaluations of each director, the purpose of this is to evaluate the entire board.

REMUNERATION

Remuneration of directors

30 | How is remuneration of directors determined? Is there any law, regulation, listing requirement or practice that affects the remuneration of directors, the length of directors' service contracts, loans to directors or other transactions or compensatory arrangements between the company and any director?

In a corporation that has adopted the corporate auditor-type governance structure, the remuneration of directors must be approved at a general meeting of shareholders unless there are relevant provisions in its articles of incorporation. Most stock corporations approve the maximum aggregate amount of remuneration payable to the entire group of directors and give the board of directors the power to decide how it is allocated among the directors. The board of directors generally delegates this power to the president and representative director. In a corporation that has adopted the audit committee-type governance structure, the remuneration of directors who are to serve as members

of the audit committee must be approved at a general meeting of shareholders separately from that payable to directors who are not to serve as members of the audit committee. The directors who are also members of the audit committee have the right to express their opinion on the remuneration payable to audit committee members at the general meeting of shareholders. The audit committee director elected by the audit committee may express opinions on the remuneration payable to directors who are not audit committee members. In a corporation that has adopted the committee-type governance structure, the remuneration of the directors must be approved by the compensation committee. The Corporate Governance Code requires that a listed corporation should have a compensation structure that will enhance its sustainable growth by combining compensation linked to its mid-term and long-term performance or combining cash compensation and stock plans.

In a corporate auditor-type governance corporation, the length of directors' service shall be two years or less. In an audit committee-type governance corporation, it shall be two years for audit committee member directors and one year or less for other directors. It shall be one year in a committee-type governance corporation. Even if the service contract provides for a longer term, this provision will not limit the power of the general meeting of shareholders to replace the directors upon expiry of the two-year period. For the corporation to advance a loan to its director or to enter into a transaction with its director, the relevant director is required to obtain a board resolution in respect of such a loan or transaction.

Remuneration of senior management

31 | How is the remuneration of the most senior management determined? Is there any law, regulation, listing requirement or practice that affects the remuneration of senior managers, loans to senior managers or other transactions or compensatory arrangements between the company and senior managers?

No law, regulation, listing requirement or practice exists that affects the remuneration of directors. Loans to directors and other transactions between the company and directors must be approved by the board of directors (or general meeting of shareholders if the company has not adopted a board system). Board approval is also required for loans to, and transactions with, statutory executive officers in cases where corporations have adopted a committee-type governance system.

Say-on-pay

32 | Do shareholders have an advisory or other vote regarding remuneration of directors and senior management? How frequently may they vote?

In the case of the corporate auditor-type governance structure, a resolution of the general meeting of shareholders is required for a Japanese listed corporation to pay remuneration to its directors or corporate auditors unless it is already provided for in its articles of incorporation. Once the maximum amount of the aggregate amount of remuneration payable to directors and to corporate auditors has been approved, no further resolution is required unless this maximum amount needs to be amended. In the case of the audit committee-type governance structure, the amount payable to audit committee directors and to other directors must be separately determined. In the case of the committee-type governance structure, the remuneration of the directors and executive officers is determined by the remuneration committee. So, in this case, shareholders do not have any direct power to determine the remuneration of directors and executive officers. In respect of senior management, shareholders do not have any control over their remuneration.

DIRECTOR PROTECTIONS

D&O liability insurance

33 | Is directors' and officers' liability insurance permitted or common practice? Can the company pay the premiums?

Directors' and officers' (D&O) insurance is permitted and has recently become common practice. The company can pay the premiums if so resolved at the board meeting.

Indemnification of directors and officers

34 | Are there any constraints on the company indemnifying directors and officers in respect of liabilities incurred in their professional capacity? If not, are such indemnities common?

The company may enter into an indemnity agreement with directors in respect of his or her liabilities incurred against a third party in their capacity as directors if such indemnity agreement is authorised by the board meeting. If the company's articles of incorporation contain a specific provision, the board may discharge a certain portion of the directors' liabilities against the company itself, which exceeds the amount calculated based upon the formula specified in the Companies Act. The corporation can enter into a contract with its outside directors or non-executive directors, limiting their liabilities against the company to a certain amount if it is so authorised in its articles of incorporation.

Advancement of expenses to directors and officers

35 | To what extent may companies advance expenses to directors and officers in connection with litigation or other proceedings against them or in which they will be a witness?

Companies can and will be required to (if so required by directors) pay expenses to their directors to the extent these expenses were expected to be paid by the directors as part of their performance of their role. However, the company cannot and will not be required to pay expenses that relate to the directors' wrongdoings. So, expenses for defence can be reimbursed only when the directors succeed in defending the case. However, companies can pay the entire D&O insurance premium if this payment is approved by a board resolution.

Exculpation of directors and officers

36 | To what extent may companies or shareholders preclude or limit the liability of directors and officers?

A two-thirds vote at the shareholder meeting can limit the liability of directors and officers to certain statutorily calculated amounts (except in the case of certain types of liability) unless the relevant damages incurred by the company are caused by gross negligence of the relevant director or officer. This power can be delegated to the board of directors by amending the articles of incorporation of the company. Liabilities of outside directors, non-executive directors and auditors can be limited by a liability-limiting agreement if the articles of incorporation contain a provision permitting such an agreement.

DISCLOSURE AND TRANSPARENCY

Corporate charter and by-laws

37 | Are the corporate charter and by-laws of companies publicly available? If so, where?

The articles of incorporation are the only constitutional document of a stock corporation. There are no by-laws or corporate charters. Under the Companies Act, the articles of incorporation are only available

to shareholders and creditors. In the case of a listed corporation, its articles of incorporation are publicly available at the head office and major branches of the corporation and the office of the relevant stock exchange, because the articles of incorporation are one of the attachments to a securities registration statement and annual securities report, which a listed corporation must file every year.

Company information

38 | What information must companies publicly disclose? How often must disclosure be made?

A listed corporation is required to file an annual securities report setting forth the business results of the corporation with the appropriate local finance bureau within three months of the end of its fiscal year via the electronic corporate disclosure system, EDINET. It must also file a quarterly report within three months of the end of each quarter. These reports are available to the public via EDINET. Further, stock exchange rules require timely disclosure by listed corporations of major events or decisions of the listed corporation.

HOT TOPICS

Shareholder-nominated directors

39 | Do shareholders have the ability to nominate directors and have them included in shareholder meeting materials that are prepared and distributed at the company's expense?

A shareholder or a group of shareholders who have held 1 per cent or more of the outstanding voting rights for the previous six months can ask the directors to present a proposed agenda, including the appointment of directors to the general meeting of shareholders, by giving eight weeks' notice.

Shareholder engagement

40 | Do companies engage with shareholders? If so, who typically participates in the company's engagement efforts and when does engagement typically occur?

In Japan, listed companies' engagement with their shareholders is relatively limited. But when there is a proposed resolution that is not very popular among the shareholders, the company sometimes contacts shareholders to urge them to cast positive votes at its shareholders' meeting. These actions are often conducted by persons within the company's general affairs bureau under the supervision of directors.

Sustainability disclosure

41 | Are companies required to provide disclosure with respect to corporate social responsibility matters?

The Corporate Governance Code requires that a listed corporation must cultivate a corporate culture that respects the rights of various stakeholders, such as employees, customers, counterparties, creditors and the surrounding society, and establish management policies that respect these stakeholders' rights. The code requires that listed corporations publicly disclose these management policies.

CEO pay ratio disclosure

- 42 | Are companies required to disclose the 'pay ratio' between the CEO's annual total compensation and the annual total compensation of other workers?

No such pay ratio disclosure is required. But if the total compensation value payable to one director is ¥100 million or more, then his or her name, the amount of the compensation and other information must be disclosed in its Annual Securities Report.

Gender pay gap disclosure

- 43 | Are companies required to disclose 'gender pay gap' information? If so, how is the gender pay gap measured?

No such disclosure is required.

UPDATE AND TRENDS**Recent developments**

- 44 | Identify any new developments in corporate governance over the past year. Identify any significant trends in the issues that have been the focus of shareholder interest or activism over the past year.

Most recent amendments to the Companies Act introduce more transparent procedures for the determination of director remunerations. Under the new rules, the board of a listed corporation must determine its policy for determining the amount of remuneration payable to each director. An outline of this policy must be disclosed in business reports that are distributed to shareholders every year.

Separately from the above, a draft of amendments to the corporate governance code was published on 7 April 2021 and is now undergoing a public comment process. The draft requires listed corporations to set voluntary and measurable diversity targets and urges them to disclose measures taken in respect of sustainability.

Coronavirus

- 45 | What emergency legislation, relief programmes and other initiatives specific to your practice area has your state implemented to address the pandemic? Have any existing government programmes, laws or regulations been amended to address these concerns? What best practices are advisable for clients?

New legislation that applies to the convocation procedures of shareholders meetings commencing before 30 September 2021 has been introduced, permitting documents that must be physically distributed to shareholders prior to the meeting to be distributed electronically (eg, via the listed corporation's website).

The Ministry of Justice has also issued guidelines for upcoming annual meetings of shareholders in response to the various restrictions caused by the coronavirus pandemic.

**ANDERSON
MŌRI &
TOMOTSUNE**

Takeshi Watanabe

takeshi.watanabe@amt-law.com

Otemachi Park Building
1-1-1 Otemachi
Chiyoda-ku
Tokyo 100-8136
Japan
Tel: +81 3 6775 1034
Fax: +81 3 6775 2034
www.amt-law.com

Other titles available in this series

Acquisition Finance	Distribution & Agency	Investment Treaty Arbitration	Public M&A
Advertising & Marketing	Domains & Domain Names	Islamic Finance & Markets	Public Procurement
Agribusiness	Dominance	Joint Ventures	Public-Private Partnerships
Air Transport	Drone Regulation	Labour & Employment	Rail Transport
Anti-Corruption Regulation	e-Commerce	Legal Privilege & Professional Secrecy	Real Estate
Anti-Money Laundering	Electricity Regulation	Licensing	Real Estate M&A
Appeals	Energy Disputes	Life Sciences	Renewable Energy
Arbitration	Enforcement of Foreign Judgments	Litigation Funding	Restructuring & Insolvency
Art Law	Environment & Climate Regulation	Loans & Secured Financing	Right of Publicity
Asset Recovery	Equity Derivatives	Luxury & Fashion	Risk & Compliance Management
Automotive	Executive Compensation & Employee Benefits	M&A Litigation	Securities Finance
Aviation Finance & Leasing	Financial Services Compliance	Mediation	Securities Litigation
Aviation Liability	Financial Services Litigation	Merger Control	Shareholder Activism & Engagement
Banking Regulation	Fintech	Mining	Ship Finance
Business & Human Rights	Foreign Investment Review	Oil Regulation	Shipbuilding
Cartel Regulation	Franchise	Partnerships	Shipping
Class Actions	Fund Management	Patents	Sovereign Immunity
Cloud Computing	Gaming	Pensions & Retirement Plans	Sports Law
Commercial Contracts	Gas Regulation	Pharma & Medical Device Regulation	State Aid
Competition Compliance	Government Investigations	Pharmaceutical Antitrust	Structured Finance & Securitisation
Complex Commercial Litigation	Government Relations	Ports & Terminals	Tax Controversy
Construction	Healthcare Enforcement & Litigation	Private Antitrust Litigation	Tax on Inbound Investment
Copyright	Healthcare M&A	Private Banking & Wealth Management	Technology M&A
Corporate Governance	High-Yield Debt	Private Client	Telecoms & Media
Corporate Immigration	Initial Public Offerings	Private Equity	Trade & Customs
Corporate Reorganisations	Insurance & Reinsurance	Private M&A	Trademarks
Cybersecurity	Insurance Litigation	Product Liability	Transfer Pricing
Data Protection & Privacy	Intellectual Property & Antitrust	Product Recall	Vertical Agreements
Debt Capital Markets		Project Finance	
Defence & Security Procurement			
Dispute Resolution			

Also available digitally

[lexology.com/gtdt](https://www.lexology.com/gtdt)