

Construction 2020

Contributing editors
Robert S Peckar and Michael S Zicherman



Publisher

Tom Barnes

tom.barnes@lbresearch.com

Subscriptions

Claire Bagnall

claire.bagnall@lbresearch.com

Senior business development managers

Adam Sargent

adam.sargent@gettingthedealthrough.com

Dan White

dan.white@gettingthedealthrough.com

Published by

Law Business Research Ltd

87 Lancaster Road

London, W11 1QQ, UK

Tel: +44 20 3780 4147

Fax: +44 20 7229 6910

The information provided in this publication is general and may not apply in a specific situation. Legal advice should always be sought before taking any legal action based on the information provided. This information is not intended to create, nor does receipt of it constitute, a lawyer-client relationship. The publishers and authors accept no responsibility for any acts or omissions contained herein. The information provided was verified between April and June 2019. Be advised that this is a developing area.

© Law Business Research Ltd 2019

No photocopying without a CLA licence.

First published 2008

Thirteenth edition

ISBN 978-1-83862-135-3

Printed and distributed by

Encompass Print Solutions

Tel: 0844 2480 112



Construction 2020

Contributing editors**Robert S Peckar and Michael S Zicherman****Peckar & Abramson PC**

Lexology Getting The Deal Through is delighted to publish the thirteenth edition of *Construction*, which is available in print and online at www.lexology.com/gtdt.

Lexology Getting The Deal Through provides international expert analysis in key areas of law, practice and regulation for corporate counsel, cross-border legal practitioners, and company directors and officers.

Throughout this edition, and following the unique Lexology Getting The Deal Through format, the same key questions are answered by leading practitioners in each of the jurisdictions featured.

Lexology Getting The Deal Through titles are published annually in print. Please ensure you are referring to the latest edition or to the online version at www.lexology.com/gtdt.

Every effort has been made to cover all matters of concern to readers. However, specific legal advice should always be sought from experienced local advisers.

Lexology Getting The Deal Through gratefully acknowledges the efforts of all the contributors to this volume, who were chosen for their recognised expertise. We also extend special thanks to the contributing editors, Robert S Peckar and Michael S Zicherman of Peckar & Abramson PC, for their continued assistance with this volume.



London

June 2019

Reproduced with permission from Law Business Research Ltd

This article was first published in March 2020

For further information please contact editorial@gettingthedealthrough.com

Contents

Introduction	3	Mexico	72
Robert S Peckar and Michael S Zicherman Peckar & Abramson PC		Roberto Hernández García COMAD SC	
Brazil	4	New Zealand	78
Júlio César Bueno Pinheiro Neto Advogados		Margaret A Helen Macfarlane, Christina Bryant and Nick Gillies Hesketh Henry	
China	16	Singapore	88
Helena H C Chen and Qing Ao Pinsent Masons LLP		Shourav Lahiri Reed Smith	
Colombia	25	Sweden	97
Claudia Benavides and Jorge I Valencia Baker McKenzie		Jacob Hamilton, Richard Sahlberg and Per Vestman Foyen Advokatfirma	
Denmark	34	Switzerland	103
Kristian Skovgaard Larsen and Lars Heiko Matzen DLA Piper Denmark P/S		Thomas P Müller, Christian Eichenberger and André Kuhn Walder Wyss	
France	42	Taiwan	109
Frédéric Gillion and Stéphane Gasne Pinsent Masons LLP		Helena H C Chen Pinsent Masons LLP	
Germany	50	United Kingdom	116
Stefan Osing Heuking Kühn Lüer Wojtek		Kimberly Roberts Bryan Cave Leighton Paisner LLP	
Ireland	57	United States	126
Rhona Henry Matheson		Robert S Peckar and Michael S Zicherman Peckar & Abramson PC	
Japan	65		
Makoto Terazaki, Masahiro Yano, Hiroaki Nakano Anderson Mōri & Tomotsune			

Japan

Makoto Terazaki, Masahiro Yano, Hiroaki Nakano
Anderson Mōri & Tomotsune

LOCAL MARKET

Foreign pursuit of the local market

- 1 | If a foreign designer or contractor wanted to set up an operation to pursue the local market, what are the key concerns they should consider before taking such a step?

A foreign designer or contractor can set up a subsidiary or branch for its continuous business pursuant to the Companies Act (86/2005).

The subsidiary of a foreign contractor or a foreign contractor itself (with a branch) must obtain a licence to operate a construction business. Licence requirements include the placement of a full-time manager and an engineer with practical experience and the appropriate qualification in Japan. Experience and qualification in a foreign country can fulfil such requirements, provided that they are certified as equivalent by the Minister of Land, Infrastructure, Transport and Tourism. However, such certification is rarely used. Therefore, practically speaking, it is more or less a requirement to employ a Japanese individual for such purposes.

In addition, the language barrier should be considered, as almost all relevant documents (eg, contracts, specifications and drawings) are written in Japanese.

Licensing issues can become a key concern for a foreign designer. In practice, there may be a specific arrangement for a large project that involves a foreign designer who is in charge of only the design concept and another licensed designer who draws the design in accordance with that concept.

REGULATION AND COMPLIANCE

Licensing procedures

- 2 | Must foreign designers and contractors be licensed locally to work and, if so, what are the consequences of working without a licence?

Under the Construction Business Act (100/1949), both foreign and domestic contractors must obtain a licence to operate a construction business, unless the individual conducts only small-scale construction works, the threshold of which is stipulated in the relevant cabinet order. A licence is necessary for each of the 29 categories of construction business listed in the act. An individual operating a construction site without a licence will be subject to imprisonment for up to three years or a fine of up to Y3 million, while a corporate legal entity will be subject to a fine of up to Y100 million.

The Act on Architects and Building Engineers (202/1950) requires that foreign and local individuals obtain a licence in accordance with the scale or type of architecture, in order to conduct design works in Japan. Any individual working without a licence will be subject to imprisonment or a fine and any corporate legal entity that employs such an individual or is represented by such an individual will also be subject to a fine.

Competition

- 3 | Do local laws provide any advantage to domestic contractors in competition with foreign contractors?

No local laws provide advantages to domestic contractors in competition with foreign contractors.

In addition, no local laws oblige the Japanese government to purchase products made in Japan. Japan is a contracting party to the Agreement on Government Procurement under the World Trade Organisation, which requires the Japanese government to treat the products and services of other contracting parties without discrimination.

Competition protections

- 4 | What legal protections exist to ensure fair and open competition to secure contracts with public entities, and to prevent bid rigging or other anticompetitive behaviour?

The Act on the Prohibition of Private Monopolisation and Maintenance of Fair Trade (54/1947) provides various measures against anti-competitive behaviour, including the Fair Trade Commission's orders to cease such behaviour and the imposition of surcharges corresponding to each type of anti-competitive behaviour. The act also orders the criminal penalties of imprisonment or a fine.

Where a public bidding is concerned, the Act on the Elimination and Prevention of Involvement in Bid Rigging and Punishments for Acts by Employees that Harm Fairness of Bidding (101/2002) stipulates the mandatory demand for compensation by public entities against officers involved in bid rigging. The act also provides for the criminal penalties of imprisonment or fines against such officers.

Bribery

- 5 | If a contractor has illegally obtained the award of a contract, for example by bribery, will the contract be enforceable? Are bribe-givers and bribe-takers prosecuted and, if so, what are the penalties they face? Are facilitation payments allowable under local law?

The Penal Code (45/1907) prohibits bribery involving a public official. Bribery among private individuals is beyond the scope of the code. Therefore, the enforceability of a private construction contract awarded through bribery depends mainly on how such behaviour is regulated within the contract. Contracts regarding public construction works often include a specific clause conferring on the employer the right to terminate on the grounds of bribery. Otherwise, the enforceability of such contracts is subject to the general principle of public policy under the Civil Code (89/1896).

Under the Penal Code, individuals who issue bribes will be subject to imprisonment for up to three years or a fine of up to Y2.5 million, while individuals who accept bribes will be subject to imprisonment for

up to 20 years. In addition to criminal penalties, a contractor convicted of bribery may have their status of eligibility for public biddings suspended. Moreover, under the Construction Business Act (100/1949), a contractor may be ordered to suspend its business or have its licence for construction business rescinded.

Facilitation payments towards a public official may constitute bribery and are therefore illegal under Japanese law.

Reporting bribery

- 6 | Under local law, must employees of the project team members report suspicion or knowledge of bribery of government employees and, if so, what are the penalties for failure to report?

No local laws oblige employees of the project team members to report suspicion or knowledge of the bribery of government employees in Japan.

Political contributions

- 7 | Is the making of political contributions part of doing business? If so, are there laws that restrict the ability of contractors or design professionals to work for public agencies because of their financial support for political candidates or parties?

Under the Act on Political Fund Regulation (194/1948), foreign companies and nationals are prohibited from making political donations in Japan, while domestic companies and other forms of organisations are permitted to make political donations to political parties, the limitation of which is stipulated in the act.

Political contributions are not generally considered to be part of doing business in Japan. Therefore, it is not recommended to make political contributions to facilitate business activities. No local laws restrict the ability of contractors and design professionals to work for public agencies.

Compliance

- 8 | Is a construction manager or other construction professional acting as a public entity's representative or agent on a project (and its employees) subject to the same anti-corruption and compliance as government employees?

A construction manager or other construction professional who holds the position of engaging in the performance of public duties in accordance with laws and regulations is subject to the same penalties for bribery as those under the Penal Code (45/1907). Compliance rules for government employees are applicable if they hold the status of government employees.

Other international legal considerations

- 9 | Are there any other important legal issues that may present obstacles to a foreign contractor attempting to do business in your jurisdiction?

No other important legal issues are known in Japan. Approximately 150 foreign contractors are operating businesses in the country.

Practically speaking, attention should be paid to commercial factors such as:

- the language barrier;
- the competitive market situation;
- the shortage of labour and skilled workers; and
- the fulfilment of local regulations.

In particular, in public construction works, almost all bidding documents are written in Japanese and subsequent communications will be made in Japanese. As such, the height of the language barrier should not be overlooked.

CONTRACTS AND INSURANCE

Construction contracts

- 10 | What standard contract forms are used for construction and design? Must the language of the contract be the local language? Are there restrictions on choice of law and the venue for dispute resolution?

A standard contract form is widely used for domestic construction works in both the private and public sector. For private construction works, the General Conditions of Construction Contract is provided by a private committee. For public construction works – the employers of which are public bodies – the Public Work Standard Contract is provided by the Central Council for Construction Business. For design works, the General Conditions for Design and Supervision Works Contract provided by a private association is widely used.

No local laws impose any restrictions on:

- the language of the contract;
- the choice of law; or
- the venue for dispute resolution.

In practice, all of the standard forms commonly used in Japan are written in Japanese and Japanese law is implied as the governing law where no choice of law clause is provided. In regard to the venue for dispute resolution, the General Conditions of Construction Contract for private works or the Public Work Standard Contract for public works specify, as a forum of dispute resolution, the Committee for Adjustment of Construction Work Dispute, whose jurisdiction is specified in the Construction Business Act (100/1949).

Payment methods

- 11 | How are contractors, subcontractors, vendors and workers typically paid and is there a standard frequency for payments?

An employer usually makes a payment by promissory notes or bank transfer. The frequency of payments varies with the size and complexity of the project as stipulated in the contract. For large projects, the employer typically applies an advance payment for completion of a milestone. The standard forms of contracts for both public and private works stipulate conditions for an advance payment.

Contractual matrix of international projects

- 12 | What is the typical contractual matrix for a major project in your jurisdiction in terms of the contractual relationships among the various construction project participants?

In major projects, several main contractors commonly constitute a consortium under the name of a joint venture and all main contractors become a party to the construction contract with the employer. A consortium or each of its members typically deploys subcontractors, which often deploy sub-subcontractors. The Construction Business Act (100/1949) prohibits contractors from subcontracting all construction works to a subcontractor, except where prior written consent is given by the employer in relation to a private construction project.

PPP and PFI**13 | Is there a formal statutory and regulatory framework for PPP and PFI contracts?**

The Act on the Promotion of Private Finance Initiative (117/1999) provides the basic policy and procedure for PFI. However, there is no formal statutory or regulatory framework for other types of PPP. PFI can be classified into two categories – the conventional type and the concession type, which were both introduced recently. Under the conventional type, government entities pay consideration for services rendered by private entities, while under the concession type, government entities do not pay such consideration, as private entities run these projects on a self-financing, profit-oriented basis.

Specifically in relation to the conventional type, the PFI/PPP Promotion Office of the Cabinet Office has issued a standard PFI contract form and two guidelines explaining the aims, matters for special attention and definitions that should be contained in PFI contracts, as well as the main articles. These guidelines are generally adhered to and PFI contracts have become standardised in practice.

Conversely, the concession type – under which public entities concede their operation rights of public facilities to private operators – was only introduced in 2011. As such, concession contracts have not yet been standardised, although the relevant guideline issued by the PFI/PPP Promotion Office provides for the main concept to be considered in the drafting of concession contracts.

Joint ventures**14 | Are all members of consortia jointly liable for the entire project or may they allocate liability and responsibility among them?**

In case of a major project, several main contractors commonly constitute a consortium under the name of a joint venture. In such cases, a consortium for a construction project is typically classified as a partnership under the Civil Code (89/1896). All members of a consortium will be held jointly and severally liable for the obligations borne by each of them in the operation of the consortium (ie, the entire project). Members may allocate liability and responsibility among them in accordance with the agreements made between them.

In some cases, main contractors may prefer to utilise a company under the Companies Act (86/2005) for their consortium. If a consortium is established as a company under the act, the members of the consortium will be responsible as shareholders of the company, to the extent limited by the value of their respective capital contributions.

Tort claims and indemnity**15 | Do local laws permit a contracting party to be indemnified against all acts, errors and omissions arising from the work of the other party, even when the first party is negligent?**

No local laws prohibit indemnities in general. Therefore, parties are not prohibited from stipulating an indemnification against all acts, errors and omissions arising from the work of the other party if they are caused by the negligence of the party claiming indemnification.

However, Japanese courts interpret these contractual provisions in such a way as to find the reasonable meaning, taking into consideration:

- the intent of both parties;
- the background of the negotiations;
- trade customs; and
- commercial common sense.

In addition, the fairness and equality of construction contracts are referenced under the Construction Business Act (100/1949). The general

legal principles of good faith and public policy are also applicable. Therefore, such indemnity provisions may be invalidated separately from the rest of the contract.

Liability to third parties**16 | Where a contractor constructs a building that will be sold or leased to a third party, does the contractor bear any potential responsibility to the third party? May the third party pursue a claim against the contractor despite the lack of contractual privity?**

Pursuant to the Civil Code (89/1896), a contractor can be the subject of a tort claim brought by a third party that purchased a building constructed by the contractor, even if there is no contractual relationship between the two parties. Case law has held that contractors and designers have a duty of care towards residents, neighbours and passers-by to ensure the fundamental safety of a building. Any breach of this duty resulting in defects in a building that undermine its fundamental safety, thereby infringing the life, body or property of residents, may constitute claims for damages in tort.

Insurance**17 | To what extent do available insurance products afford a contractor coverage for: damage to the property of third parties; injury to workers or third parties; delay damages; and damages due to environmental hazards. Does the local law limit contractors' liability for damages?**

The extent of insurance coverage offered for a contractor is a commercial and business matter determined by private insurers. In general, a contractor may purchase an insurance policy covering:

- damage to the property of third parties;
- injury to workers or third parties; and
- delay damages for an employer.

Eligibility for insurance with regard to damages caused by environmental hazards (eg, typhoons, flooding, earthquakes and toxic substances) varies according to the insurer.

LABOUR AND CLOSURE OF OPERATIONS**Labour requirements****18 | Are there any laws requiring a minimum amount of local labour to be employed on a particular construction project?**

There are no local laws requiring a minimum number of Japanese employees for a construction project. However, in practice, foreign contractors must employ Japanese workers to obtain a construction business licence under the Construction Business Act (100/1949).

Local labour law**19 | If a contractor directly hires local labour (at any level) for a project, are there any legal obligations towards the employees that cannot be terminated upon completion of the employment?**

Apart from construction projects, under the Labour Standards Act (49/1947) and the Labour Contracts Act (128/2007), it is generally difficult for an employer to unilaterally dismiss an employee under their employment contract in Japan. To do so, the employer must give the employee at least 30 days' prior notice or make payment in lieu of notice. In addition, the employer must have an 'objectively and socially justifiable cause' for the dismissal. Otherwise, it is deemed to be an abuse of

right and would therefore be null and void. The difficulty of dismissal should be noted.

In the case of termination of employment by the employer, some unperformed obligations under the employment contract will remain, including the obligation to pay unpaid salary or provide compensation for damages resulting from a breach of the employer's responsibilities. In addition, the Labour Standard Act (49/1947) imposes further obligations on the employer, which exist even after termination, such as the obligations to:

- deliver a certificate on the occasion of retirement; and
- return the reserve funds, security deposits, savings and any other money and goods to which the worker is rightfully entitled – regardless of the name or title by which such money or goods are referred.

If a severance payment is provided for in the labour-management agreement, the employer will pay the amount specified by the agreement. In addition, the employer is required to provide social insurance for its employees. If this is not paid, the payment may be subsequently enforced regardless of termination of employment.

Labour and human rights

20 | What laws apply to the treatment of foreign construction workers and what rights do they have? What are the local law consequences for failure to follow those laws?

Under the Immigration Control and Refugee Recognition Act (Cabinet Order 319/1951), all foreign workers – not limited to those who work on construction projects – must obtain resident status in order to reside and work in Japan. Foreign workers are also required to act and work within the scope permitted by their residence status. This status is proven by the employee by submitting certain documents to the employer. Where the employment is found to be illegal, the employer will be subject to a fine. Further, the Labour Standard Act (49/1947) prohibits employers from discriminating on the basis of nationality in respect of working conditions.

Other than this, the laws that apply to Japanese workers – including the Labour Standard Act, the Labour Contracts Act (128/2007), the Industrial Safety and Health Act (57/1972) and the Minimum Wage Act (137/1959) – apply to foreign construction workers in the same manner.

Close of operations

21 | If a foreign contractor that has been legally operating decides to close its operations, what are the legal obstacles to closing up and leaving?

There are no local laws that apply only to foreign contractors in respect of closing their operations in Japan. However, if a foreign contractor has a branch or subsidiary in Japan, it must complete the requisite procedures in order to close the branch office or liquidate the subsidiary company. This is costly and takes a long time. In particular, if the branch or subsidiary has its own employees, the rules for dismissal will apply. Such procedures and processes apply not only to closing down operations and businesses in construction, but also to conducting business in Japan in general, which is something that foreign contractors should consider.

Regarding any unique aspects of construction projects in Japan, it is sometimes the case that a construction contract includes provisions related not only to construction, but also to the operation and maintenance of the constructed building or facility. The obligations related to this operation and maintenance can remain for a relatively long period after the construction is complete – in some cases, more than a decade. In this case, the contractor cannot cease to provide its services without

obtaining the approval of the owner of the building or transferring the obligation to a third party.

PAYMENT

Payment rights

22 | How may a contractor secure the right to payment of its costs and fees from an owner? May the contractor place liens on the property?

Under the Civil Code (89/1896), contractors have a right to the retention of construction works in their possession until all costs and fees due to them by an employer have been paid. The right of retention is effective even for third parties. A contractor may also refuse to deliver construction works until the employer tenders a payment of remuneration for such works. In addition, a contractor may place a statutory lien on construction works to secure fees and costs for such works.

'Pay if paid' and 'pay when paid'

23 | Does local law prohibit construction contracts from containing terms that make a subcontractor's right to payment contingent on the general contractor's receipt of payment from the owner, thereby causing the subcontractor to bear the risk of the owner's non-payment or late payment?

The Construction Business Act (100/1949) prohibits terms in certain subcontracts that make a subcontractor's right to payment contingent on the general contractor's receipt of payment from the employer. Specifically, the act obliges an employer to make a payment within 50 days of an application of delivery of completed construction works, regardless of the status of its payments, on the conditions that:

- the main contractor conducts its business under a special construction business licence; and
- the subcontractor operates its business under an ordinary construction business licence with a stated capital of less than ¥40 million.

Contracting with government entities

24 | Can a government agency assert sovereign immunity as a defence to a contractor's claim for payment?

A government agency cannot assert sovereign immunity as a defence to a contractor's claim for payment.

Statutory payment protection

25 | Where major projects have been interrupted or cancelled, do the local laws provide any protection for unpaid contractors who have performed work?

Under the Civil Code (89/1896), a contractor may claim damages including lost profits against an employer for its breach of contract. If the breach of contract amounts to a material breach, the contractor may terminate the contract in order to be relieved from the obligation to perform the contract. If a contract is terminated for a breach by either the contractor or the employer, case law stipulates that the termination can have only a prospective effect, except under special circumstances. Therefore, a contractor may receive partial payment for works that have been partially completed when a contract is terminated. In addition, the code permits an employer to terminate a construction contract, at its discretion, before completion of the works, on the condition that the employer must compensate the contractor for any damages suffered for the termination.

If the Japanese government is the employer, the Act on the Prevention of Delay in Payment under Government Contracts (256/1949) provides a certainty with regard to the date of payment and the rate of arrears interest, among other matters.

FORCE MAJEURE

Force majeure and acts of God

26 | Under local law are contractors excused from performing contractual obligations owing to events beyond their control?

Under the Civil Code (89/1896), a contractor may raise the defence of force majeure to excuse its liability for the failure to perform contractual obligations, except in case of damages for failure to perform an obligation for the payment of money. However, the code has no definition of 'force majeure' and case law has revealed only the general concept of force majeure with an extremely high threshold. Therefore, it is common for construction practitioners to establish a force majeure clause in their construction contracts.

DISPUTES

Courts and tribunals

27 | Are there any specialised tribunals that are dedicated to resolving construction disputes?

Various departments are designated to resolving construction disputes in courts such as the Tokyo District Court and the Osaka District Court. With regard to alternative dispute resolution, there are two types of institution:

- the Committee for Adjustment of Construction Work Disputes, established pursuant to the Construction Business Act (100/1949) to solve disputes relating to construction contracts; and
- the Committee for Adjustment of Housing Disputes, established pursuant to the Housing Quality Assurance Act (81/1999) to solve disputes relating to specific housing contracts.

Dispute review boards

28 | Are dispute review boards (DRBs) used? Are their decisions treated as mandatory, advisory, final or interim?

DRBs are rarely used in domestic construction contracts. In fact, neither standard contract forms for public construction nor forms for private construction have applied provisions for DRBs. Legal status and the effect of decisions or recommendations rendered by DRBs may vary depending on the terms of the contract.

Mediation

29 | Has the practice of voluntary participation in professionally organised mediation gained acceptance and, if so, how prevalent is the practice and where are the mediators coming from? If not, why not?

Standard contract forms for both public and private constructions stipulate a multi-tier dispute resolution mechanism, in which parties are initially obliged to refer their disputes to the Committee for Adjustment of Construction Work Disputes established pursuant to the Construction Business Act (100/1949). The committee offers mediation services by three mediators, as well as conciliation and arbitration services. One of the three mediators will have a legal background, usually as an attorney, and the others will have a technical background or experience with public officials for the administration of the construction sector.

In the case of construction disputes before the Tokyo District Court, although it is not mandatory, parties are usually asked to undergo concurrent proceedings of litigation and mediation conducted simultaneously by a judge, who also acts as the presiding mediator of the three mediators.

Confidentiality in mediation

30 | Are statements made in mediation confidential?

Mediation proceedings before the court and the Committee for Adjustment of Construction Work Disputes are conducted in private. However, Japanese laws do not prohibit the parties from referring to statements or evidence made or disclosed in the course of mediation. Therefore, if the parties also wish to ensure the confidentiality of mediation in subsequent proceedings (eg, litigation or arbitration), a contractual agreement executed between the parties prohibiting them from referring to such information is required.

Arbitration of private disputes

31 | What is the prevailing attitude towards arbitration of construction disputes? Is it preferred over litigation in the local courts?

Domestic arbitration held by the Committee for Adjustment of Construction Work Disputes and international arbitration held by the Japan Commercial Arbitration Association (JCAA) are as common as court litigation for the resolution of construction disputes.

Governing law and arbitration providers

32 | If a foreign contractor wanted to pursue work and insisted by contract upon international arbitration as the dispute resolution mechanism, which of the customary international arbitration providers is preferred and why?

The JCAA is preferred among Japanese parties because the seat of arbitration and venue for hearings are likely to be in Tokyo or another city in Japan. If the seat of arbitration or venue for hearings is overseas, Japanese parties typically choose a jurisdiction that is not the other party's origin country. In this sense, Singapore has become a popular choice for dispute resolution. The ICC, the Singapore International Arbitration Centre and the Hong Kong International Arbitration Centre are also preferred arbitral institutions for Japanese parties.

As to governing law, Japanese parties prefer to choose Japanese law. The second option is to choose the law of a neutral country (eg, England and Wales or Singapore).

Dispute resolution with government entities

33 | May government agencies participate in private arbitration and be bound by the arbitrators' award?

Government agencies may participate in private arbitration and be bound by an arbitral award. In fact, the standard contract form for public works permits the use of arbitration held by the Committee for Adjustment of Construction Work Disputes.

Arbitral award

34 | Is there any basis upon which an arbitral award issued by a foreign or international tribunal may be rejected by your local courts?

Japan is a party to the New York Convention with the reservation of reciprocity, and the convention is applied directly in the enforcement of foreign awards made in other party states. In addition, the Arbitration

Act (138/2003) has adopted almost all the provisions of the UNCITRAL Model Law, and the requirements for the enforcement of foreign awards under the act are almost the same as those of the convention.

Limitation periods

35 Are there any statutory limitation periods within which lawsuits must be commenced for construction work or design services and are there any statutory preconditions for commencing or maintaining such proceedings?

Limitation periods are stipulated in the Civil Code (89/1896) and the Commercial Code (48/1899). In particular, a specific limitation period related to construction works is stipulated as three years from the completion of works.

However, from April 2020, limitation periods will change in accordance with the amended Civil Code. The new limitation periods will be:

- five years from the time when a creditor becomes aware that it has become possible to exercise the right; or
- 10 years from the time when it has become possible to exercise the right.

The Civil Code stipulates various measures to prevent the expiration of limitation periods, including the commencement of judicial claims before the courts and making a demand outside of the court procedure. The effect and conditions of each measure differ. Therefore, caution is required before such measures are taken.

ENVIRONMENTAL REGULATION

International environmental law

36 Is your jurisdiction party to the Stockholm Declaration of 1972? What are the local laws that provide for preservation of the environment and wildlife while advancing infrastructure and building projects?

Japan is a signatory to the Stockholm Declaration of 1972 and has enacted various local environmental laws, including:

- the Basic Environment Act (91/1993);
- the Air Pollution Control Act (97/1968);
- the Water Pollution Act (138/1970); and
- the Soil Contamination Countermeasures Act (53/2002).

In regard to construction projects, the relevant laws and regulations include the Construction Material Recycling Act (104/2000) and the Act on Ensuring Hygienic Environment in Buildings (20/1970).

Local environmental responsibility

37 What duties and liability do local laws impose on developers and contractors for the creation of environmental hazards or violation of local environmental laws and regulations?

Various Japanese local environmental laws (eg, the Basic Environment Act, the Air Pollution Control Act, the Water Pollution Act and the Soil Contamination Countermeasures Act) provide regulations and measures to protect the environment and impose penalties for any breaches thereof, in accordance with the importance and extent of the breach. For example, the Construction Material Recycling Act imposes an obligation on the contractor to recycle specific construction materials, as well as to obtain permission to proceed with construction projects in areas specified as prone to ground subsidence, as stipulated under the Act on Ensuring Hygienic Environment in Buildings.

CROSS-BORDER ISSUES

International treaties

38 Is your jurisdiction a signatory to any investment agreements for the protection of investments of a foreign entity in construction and infrastructure projects? If so, how does your model agreement define 'investment'?

Japan is a signatory to several bilateral investment treaties (BITs) and economic partnership agreements (EPAs) that provide for the protection of investments in Japan. In addition, the country has signed the Comprehensive and Progressive Agreement for Trans-Pacific Partnership (CPTPP), which entered into force on 30 December 2018.

While Japan does not have a model BIT or EPA, the CPTPP defines 'investment' in a broad way, which includes 'turnkey, construction, management, production, concession, revenue-sharing and other similar contracts'.

Tax treaties

39 Has your jurisdiction entered into double taxation treaties pursuant to which a contractor is prevented from being taxed in various jurisdictions?

Japan has entered into approximately 60 tax treaties with around 70 jurisdictions in order to avoid double taxation and prevent tax evasion and avoidance.

Currency controls

40 Are there currency controls that make it difficult or impossible to change operating funds or profits from one currency to another?

There are no such currency controls in Japan.

Removal of revenues, profits and investment

41 Are there any controls or laws that restrict removal of revenues, profits or investments from your jurisdiction?

There is no local law that restricts the removal of revenues, profits or investments from Japan, apart from transfer pricing taxation, which prevents multinationals from avoiding or reducing corporate income taxes by transferring income to foreign affiliates.

In exceptional circumstances (eg, where international economic sanctions come into play), overseas payments and capital transactions are controlled pursuant to the Foreign Exchange and Foreign Trade Act (228/1949). Under the act, the investor must report to the Bank of Japan in advance or subsequently, depending on which industry it intends to inject on. In addition, certain transactions are monitored under the Act on the Prevention of Transfer of Criminal Proceeds (22/2007).

UPDATE AND TRENDS

Emerging trends

42 Are there any emerging trends or hot topics in construction regulation in your jurisdiction?

Working conditions in the construction industry have been an issue of discussion. In particular, long working hours and the securement of social insurance have raised significant problems for construction workers. To deal with this, the act partially amending the Construction Business Act (100/1949) was passed in the Diet in June 2019 and is due to come into effect within 18 months. The act and the ordinance of the Ministry of Land, Infrastructure, Transport and Tourism will provide the

mandatory requirement that applicants for a licence to operate in the construction business must ensure that their employees enrol in social insurance. In addition, the act will prohibit employers from entering into a contract, the period of which is much shorter than what is normal and practicable for such a project. The Central Council for Construction Business will specify the standard periods for construction projects.

The logo for Anderson Mōri & Tomotsune features a vertical blue bar to the left of the company name. The name is stacked in three lines: "ANDERSON", "MŌRI &", and "TOMOTSUNE", all in a bold, black, sans-serif font.

Makoto Terazaki

makoto.terazaki@amt-law.com

Masahiro Yano

masahiro.yano@amt-law.com

Hiroaki Nakano

hiroaki.nakano@amt-law.com

Otemachi Park Building, 1-1-1,
Otemachi, Chiyoda-ku,
Tokyo 100-8136,
Japan
Tel: + 81-3-6775-1000

www.amt-law.com

Other titles available in this series

Acquisition Finance	Distribution & Agency	Islamic Finance & Markets	Real Estate M&A
Advertising & Marketing	Domains & Domain Names	Joint Ventures	Renewable Energy
Agribusiness	Dominance	Labour & Employment	Restructuring & Insolvency
Air Transport	e-Commerce	Legal Privilege & Professional Secrecy	Right of Publicity
Anti-Corruption Regulation	Electricity Regulation	Licensing	Risk & Compliance Management
Anti-Money Laundering	Energy Disputes	Life Sciences	Securities Finance
Appeals	Enforcement of Foreign Judgments	Litigation Funding	Securities Litigation
Arbitration	Environment & Climate Regulation	Loans & Secured Financing	Shareholder Activism & Engagement
Art Law	Equity Derivatives	M&A Litigation	Ship Finance
Asset Recovery	Executive Compensation & Employee Benefits	Mediation	Shipbuilding
Automotive	Financial Services Compliance	Merger Control	Shipping
Aviation Finance & Leasing	Financial Services Litigation	Mining	Sovereign Immunity
Aviation Liability	Fintech	Oil Regulation	Sports Law
Banking Regulation	Foreign Investment Review	Patents	State Aid
Cartel Regulation	Franchise	Pensions & Retirement Plans	Structured Finance & Securitisation
Class Actions	Fund Management	Pharmaceutical Antitrust	Tax Controversy
Cloud Computing	Gaming	Ports & Terminals	Tax on Inbound Investment
Commercial Contracts	Gas Regulation	Private Antitrust Litigation	Technology M&A
Competition Compliance	Government Investigations	Private Banking & Wealth Management	Telecoms & Media
Complex Commercial Litigation	Government Relations	Private Client	Trade & Customs
Construction	Healthcare Enforcement & Litigation	Private Equity	Trademarks
Copyright	High-Yield Debt	Private M&A	Transfer Pricing
Corporate Governance	Initial Public Offerings	Product Liability	Vertical Agreements
Corporate Immigration	Insurance & Reinsurance	Product Recall	
Corporate Reorganisations	Insurance Litigation	Project Finance	
Cybersecurity	Intellectual Property & Antitrust	Public M&A	
Data Protection & Privacy	Investment Treaty Arbitration	Public Procurement	
Debt Capital Markets		Public-Private Partnerships	
Defence & Security		Rail Transport	
Procurement		Real Estate	
Dispute Resolution			

Also available digitally

[lexology.com/gtdt](https://www.lexology.com/gtdt)