


# Japan

Shinji Kusakabe and Toshishige Fujiwara, Anderson Mōri & Tomotsune



[www.practicallaw.com/9-385-8377](http://www.practicallaw.com/9-385-8377)

## GENERAL

### 1. Please give a brief overview of the use of commercial arbitration in your jurisdiction, including any recent trends. What are the general advantages and disadvantages of arbitration compared to court litigation in your jurisdiction?

The Arbitration Law (Law No. 138 of 2003), which replaced a law dating from 1890, came into effect on 1 March 2004. Although the Arbitration Law has not dramatically increased the traditionally low use of commercial arbitration in Japan, the Japanese government and arbitration practitioners have recently shown strong movement toward promoting the use of arbitration.

Generally, arbitration has various advantages compared to litigation, which include:

- **Parties' initiative.** Parties can appoint specialists whom both parties think are reliable and determine the procedural rules for resolution of their dispute. This is especially significant in the international context, where parties have little information on court procedures and litigation practices of other jurisdictions.
- **Confidentiality.** Unlike litigation, arbitration proceedings are generally closed to the public, which makes arbitration a preferable means to resolve disputes of a private or confidential nature.
- **Speed.** Since there is no appeal against an arbitral award unless the parties otherwise agree, arbitration proceedings are faster compared to court litigation.

The advantages of the parties' initiative and confidentiality apply to dispute resolution in Japan. Since court proceedings in Japan are rather expeditious, it is not guaranteed that arbitration proceedings will be faster than court proceedings in the first instance. Still, taking appeals into consideration, arbitration proceedings are faster than court proceedings in Japan.

### 2. Which arbitration organisations are commonly used to resolve large commercial disputes in your jurisdiction? Please give details of both arbitral institutions and professional/industry bodies, including the website address of each organisation.

The following arbitral institutions are commonly used to resolve

large commercial disputes in Japan (see box, *Main arbitration organisations*):

- The Japan Commercial Arbitration Association (JCAA) [www.jcaa.or.jp/e/index-e.html](http://www.jcaa.or.jp/e/index-e.html).
- International Chamber of Commerce ([www.iccwbo.org](http://www.iccwbo.org)).

In addition, some Japanese bar associations provide arbitration services.

Further, there are professional/industry bodies commonly used to resolve special types of commercial disputes in Japan, such as the:

- Japan Shipping Exchange, Inc. ([www.jseinc.org/index\\_en.html](http://www.jseinc.org/index_en.html)).
- Japan Intellectual Property Arbitration Center ([www.ip-adr.gr.jp/english/index.html](http://www.ip-adr.gr.jp/english/index.html)).

### 3. What legislation applies to arbitration in your jurisdiction? To what extent has your jurisdiction adopted the UNCITRAL Model Law on International Commercial Arbitration 1985 (UNCITRAL Model Law)?

The Arbitration Law (English translation at [www.kantei.go.jp/foreign/policy/sihou/law032004\\_e.html](http://www.kantei.go.jp/foreign/policy/sihou/law032004_e.html)) applies to arbitrations in Japan.

Japan's Arbitration Law is based on the UNCITRAL Model Law (original 1985 version) (1985 Model Law). Although many of the provisions of the Arbitration Law are nearly identical to the 1985 Model Law, there are some differences such as:

- **Form of arbitration agreements (Article 13(4)).** Arbitration agreements can be made by way of electromagnetic record (for example, e-mail), while the 1985 Model Law allows agreements by facsimile but not electromagnetic record (see *Question 7*).
- **Enforcement of arbitration agreements (Article 14(1)).** If a court proceeding is initiated in breach of an arbitration agreement, the court proceeding will be dismissed by request of the defendant, while the 1985 Model Law states that the court will refer the parties to arbitration (see *Question 17*).

Some of the other differences between Japan's Arbitration Law and the 1985 Model Law are set out below.

---

**4. Are there any mandatory legislative provisions (for example, relating to removal of arbitrators, challenge of awards and arbitrability)? If yes, please summarise their effect.**

---

Although the Arbitration Law allows parties to have broad autonomy, there are mandatory legislative provisions such as the court's authority to decide on challenges to arbitrators by the request of a party (*Article 19(4)*) and the court's authority to remove arbitrators by the request of a party (*Article 20*).

In relation to rules of procedure, the Arbitration Law has minimal mandatory rules, including:

- Equal treatment of all parties and due process (*Article 25*).
- Tribunal's authority on kompetenz-kompetenz (*Article 23(1)*).
- Time limitation on disputing tribunal's jurisdiction (*Article 23(2)*).
- Prior notice of oral hearings (*Article 32(3)*).
- Accessibility to other party's briefs and all evidence (*Article 32(4)*).
- Form of awards (except for the necessity of describing reasons for awards) (*Article 39*).
- Completion of arbitral proceedings (*Article 40*).
- Grounds and procedure to set aside arbitral awards (*Article 44*).

A mandatory provision also stipulates the scope of arbitrable disputes, which includes all civil disputes where there is a possibility of settlement between the parties, excluding those relating to divorce or separation (*Article 13(1)*). The following disputes are generally considered to be not arbitrable:

- Actions relating to personal status, such as cases requesting confirmation of paternity.
- Disputes relating to the validity of intellectual property rights (for example, a patent).

In addition, an agreement between a consumer and a business to arbitrate future civil disputes can be cancelled by the consumer (*Article 3, Supplementary Provisions to the Arbitration Law*). Further, an agreement between an individual worker and his employer to arbitrate future labour disputes is null and void (*Article 4, Supplementary Provisions to the Arbitration Law*).

---

**5. Are there any requirements relating to independence or impartiality?**

---

An arbitrator must be impartial and independent, possessing the qualifications agreed on by the parties involved in the arbitration agreement (*Article 18(1)*). If a single or a third arbitrator is appointed by the court on the request from a party to the arbitration, due regard must be had for whether it would be appropriate to appoint an arbitrator of a different nationality from the parties (*Article 17(6)(iii)*). Arbitrators and arbitrator candidates are obliged to disclose any facts which may raise doubts as to their impartiality or independence (*Article 18(3) and 18(4)*).

---

**6. Does the law of limitation apply to arbitration proceedings? If yes, briefly state the usual length of limitation period(s) and what triggers or interrupts it in the context of commercial arbitration.**

---

In Japan, the law of limitation is considered to be a matter of substantive law, which causes extinction of rights. Consequently, when Japanese substantive law applies, the Japanese law of limitation will also apply in arbitration proceedings.

The usual length of limitation periods under Japanese substantive law are as follows:

- A claim to which the Civil Code applies is extinguished if ten years have passed from the date the claim has become exercisable.
- A claim to which the Commercial Code applies is extinguished if five years have passed from the date the claim has become exercisable.
- The right to demand compensation for damages in tort is extinguished if three years have passed from the date the victim discovers the damages and the identity of the perpetrator. The same will apply if 20 years have passed from the time of the tortious act.

In addition, there are provisions in Japanese law which provide for short-term prescription periods for specific types of rights.

Prescription is interrupted on issuance of:

- A claim from a party having the right.
- Attachment, provisional seizure or provisional disposition by a party having the right.
- Acknowledgment of the right by the obligor.

## ARBITRATION AGREEMENTS

### 7. For an arbitration agreement to be enforceable:

- What substantive and/or formal requirements must be satisfied?
- Is a separate arbitration agreement required or is a clause in the main contract sufficient?

An arbitration agreement must include a statement in effect that the parties submit to one or more arbitrators the resolution of certain civil disputes and agree to abide by their award (*Article 2(1)*).

In addition, the Arbitration Law stipulates that an arbitration agreement must be in writing and can be in the form of a document signed by all parties, letters or telegrams sent between the parties, including facsimile, or other written instrument (*Article 13(2)*). It is not necessary that the document is a document signed by all parties, and to fulfil the requirement that the arbitration agreement is documented, it is considered sufficient if there is some type of evidence subsequent to the document recording the arbitration agreement (for example, a bill of lading). In addition, an arbitration agreement can be made by an electromagnetic record (for example, e-mail) (*Article 13(4)*), which distinguishes the Arbitration Law from the 1985 Model Law.

When an arbitration clause is included in the main contract, the arbitration clause is considered to satisfy the requirements for an arbitration agreement to be in writing. A separate agreement is not required under Japanese law.

### 8. Do statutory rules apply to the arbitration agreement? For example, are there restrictions on the number, qualifications/ characteristics or selection of arbitrators?

The Arbitration Law stipulates some statutory rules, which apply to arbitration agreements, such as:

- An arbitration agreement must be in writing (*see Question 7*).
- Some dispute matters are considered to be not arbitrable (*see Question 4*).

There are no restrictions on the number, qualifications/ characteristics or selection of arbitrators, but arbitrators are obliged to be impartial and independent (*Article 18*).

### 9. In what circumstances can a third party be joined to an arbitration, or otherwise be bound by an arbitration award? Please give brief details.

This is a matter of interpretation of the arbitration agreement. Under Japanese conflict of law principles, the effect of an international

arbitration agreement is determined by the substantive law to which the parties explicitly or impliedly agreed (*Nihon Kyoiku Sha K.K. v Feld, 51-8 Minshu 3657 (Sup. Ct., Sept. 4, 1997)*). Where Japanese substantive law applies, general contract law dictates the cases in which a third party can be joined to or be bound by an arbitration agreement. For example, third parties or non-signatories can be bound by an arbitration agreement in cases of succession and assignment. However, neither parent nor subsidiary companies of a signatory company can be bound by an arbitration agreement, regardless of whether they were involved in the conclusion, performance or termination of the contract in dispute, under the group of companies doctrine.

## PROCEDURE

### 10. Does the applicable legislation provide default rules governing the appointment and removal of arbitrators, and the start of arbitral proceedings?

The Arbitration Law provides default rules on the number of arbitrators. When there are two parties and no agreement has been reached as to the number of arbitrators, the arbitral tribunal will be a panel of three arbitrators (*Article 16(2)*). In multiparty arbitration where the number of arbitrators has not been agreed between the parties, the court will determine the number on the request of a party (*Article 16(3)*).

The Arbitration Law also stipulates default rules on the procedure for appointing arbitrators. When the parties fail to agree on the procedure for appointing arbitrators, and there are two parties to an arbitration proceeding having a panel of three arbitrators, each party can appoint an arbitrator, and the two appointed arbitrators will appoint the third (*Article 17(2)*). If there are two parties to an arbitration proceeding using a sole arbitrator, the court will appoint the arbitrator on the request of a party (*Article 17(3)*). When the appointment of arbitrator(s) cannot be decided in multiparty arbitration, the court will appoint the arbitrator(s) on the request of a party (*Article 17(4)*).

Default rules on challenging arbitrators are also prescribed in the Arbitration Law. When the parties fail to agree on the procedure for challenging an arbitrator, the arbitral tribunal will decide on the challenge (*Article 19(2)*). When there is no agreement on the procedure governing a challenge, the challenging party must file a request to challenge an arbitrator with the arbitral tribunal within 15 days of the later of either the day on which the party became aware of the:

- Constitution of the arbitral tribunal; or
- Existence of any of the circumstances constituting grounds for a challenge.

In addition, the party must submit a written request describing the reasons for the challenge to the arbitral tribunal (*Article 19(3)*).

There are some mandatory rules relating to challenge and removal of arbitrators. If a challenge is denied, the challenging party can request judicial review of the decision (*Article 19(4)*). The removal of an arbitrator can also be requested of the court on the grounds of the arbitrator's de jure or de facto inability or undue delay in performing his duties (*Article 20*).

The Arbitration Law also provides default rules governing the commencement of arbitral proceedings. Arbitral proceedings are commenced by one party giving the other party notice to refer a dispute to arbitration (*Article 29(1)*). The claimant must, within the time limit prescribed by the arbitral tribunal, state the relief or remedy sought, the facts supporting its claim and the points at issue. The claimant can submit all documentary evidence it considers to be relevant or may add a reference to the documentary evidence or other evidence it will submit (*Article 31(1)*). The respondent follows the same rules applicable to the claimant (*Article 31(2)*).

Each party can make amendments or additions to their statements during the arbitral proceedings. However, the arbitral tribunal can refuse to allow the amendments or additions if they are made after the permitted time period (*Article 31(3)*). Under the Arbitration Law, these submissions can be made orally or in writing.

---

**11. What procedural rules are arbitrators likely to follow? Can the parties determine the procedural rules that apply? Does the legislation provide any default rules governing procedure?**

---

The Arbitration Law grants parties broad autonomy relating to arbitration proceedings, and the arbitral tribunal has broad discretion on procedural rules. Arbitrators follow the procedural rules agreed by the parties unless such rules violate the provisions of the Arbitration Law relating to public policy (*Article 26(1)*). The provisions relating to public policy include equal treatment of the parties (*Article 25(1)*) and due process (*Article 25(2)*) (see *Question 4*).

If the parties fail to agree on the procedural rules that will govern the proceeding, the arbitrators can conduct the arbitral proceedings in such manner as they consider appropriate, subject to the default rules stipulated in the Arbitration Law (*Article 26(2)*). Such default rules include:

- Waiver of the right to object due to delay (*Article 27*).
- Arbitral tribunal's discretion to decide the place of arbitration (*Article 28(2)*).
- Commencement of arbitral proceedings (*Article 29(1)*) (see *Question 10*).
- Arbitral tribunal's discretion to determine the language to be used in the arbitral proceedings (*Article 30(2)*).
- Time restrictions on parties' statements (*Article 31*) (see *Question 10*).
- Arbitral tribunal's discretion to hold oral hearings (*Article 32(1)*).

- Default of a party (*Article 33*).
- Arbitral tribunal's discretion to appoint experts (*Article 34*).
- Arbitral tribunal's discretion to request the court's assistance in taking evidence (*Article 35*).

---

**12. What procedural powers does the arbitrator have? If there is no express agreement, can the arbitrator order disclosure of documents and attendance of witnesses (factual or expert)?**

---

An arbitral tribunal has powers prescribed in an arbitration agreement (*Article 26(1)*). In addition, unless the parties otherwise agreed, an arbitral tribunal has powers stipulated in the default rules of the Arbitration Law such as power to:

- Decide the place of arbitration (*Article 28(2)*).
- Determine the language to be used in the arbitral proceedings (*Article 30(2)*).
- Appoint experts (*Article 34*).
- Request the court's assistance in taking evidence (*Article 35*).

Further, an arbitral tribunal has the power to conduct arbitral proceedings in such manner as it considers appropriate (*Article 26(2)*).

There is no provision in the Arbitration Law which prohibits arbitrators from ordering disclosure of documents and attendance of witnesses. However, full documentary disclosure is not common among arbitration practitioners in Japan, unless expressly agreed by the parties (see *Question 13*). Further, the arbitral tribunal does not have the authority to force witnesses to attend the arbitration proceeding. If an arbitral tribunal is required to force attendance of witnesses, the arbitral tribunal must request a court's assistance (*Article 35*).

---

**EVIDENCE**

---

**13. What documents must the parties disclose to the other parties and/or the arbitrator(s)? Can the parties determine the rules on disclosure? How, in practice, does the scope of disclosure compare with disclosure in litigation?**

---

The parties are free to agree on the rules of procedure (*Article 26(1)*), and if parties fail to agree on such rules, the arbitral tribunal can conduct the arbitral proceedings in such manner as it considers appropriate (*Article 26(2)*). Accordingly, the scope of documents which the parties are obliged to disclose is determined by an arbitration agreement and the arbitral tribunal's discretion.

However, in practice, arbitration practitioners in Japan generally do not conduct full documentary disclosure. This is because the rules of procedure in Japanese civil litigation do not allow extensive disclosure, and arbitration practitioners in Japan are generally accustomed to such rules of procedure. Instead, the arbitral tribunal can request that parties produce specific documents which closely relate to the issues to be determined, after such issues have been clarified by the exchange of factual and legal statements between the parties. As such, the scope of disclosure in arbitration in Japan, in practice, appears to be similar to that in Japanese litigation, which makes the arbitration proceedings more efficient and succinct.

## CONFIDENTIALITY

### 14. Is arbitration confidential?

This depends on the agreement between the parties, but arbitral proceedings are generally not disclosed and are confidential. The Arbitration Law does not have any express provisions prohibiting the disclosure of information related to arbitral proceedings, although it is interpreted that an arbitrator has a duty of confidentiality to the parties to arbitral proceedings. Arbitration institutions in Japan also respect the confidential nature of arbitration. For example, the JCAA rules expressly stipulate that arbitral proceedings and records are to be closed to the public, and the JCAA rules also stipulate that the arbitrators, officers and staff of the JCAA, the parties and their representatives cannot disclose facts related to arbitration cases, except where disclosure is required by law or court proceedings (*rule 40, JCAA Rules*).

## COURTS AND ARBITRATION

### 15. Will the local courts intervene to assist arbitration proceedings? For example, by granting an injunction or compelling witnesses to attend?

Before or during an arbitral proceeding, a court can order certain interim relief relating to a civil dispute subject to an arbitration agreement, on request from a party (*Article 15*). The Civil Preservation Law (*Law No. 91 of 1989*) stipulates the types of interim measures that can be ordered by courts.

Further, a court can assist in taking evidence by any means considered necessary by the arbitral tribunal, on request from the arbitral tribunal or a party. The taking of evidence can relate to entrustment of investigation, examination of witnesses, expert testimony, and investigation of documentary evidence or inspection (*Article 35*).

In addition, the court can assist with:

- Serving notice (*Article 12*).

- Appointment of an arbitrator (*Article 17*).
- Challenge to an arbitrator (*Article 19*).
- Removal of an arbitrator (*Article 20*).
- Deciding the jurisdiction of the arbitral tribunal (*Article 23*).

A party can also apply to a court to set aside (*Article 44*) or enforce (*Article 45*) an arbitral award.

### 16. What is the risk of a local court intervening to frustrate the arbitration? Can a party delay proceedings by frequent court applications?

It is unclear whether a Japanese court can issue an injunction order against arbitral proceedings under the Civil Preservation Law.

However, even if a party has applied for intervention of a court, an arbitral tribunal can commence or continue arbitral proceedings. Article 14(2) of the Arbitration Law stipulates that arbitral proceedings can be commenced or continued even if a case relating to the arbitration agreement is brought to a court. Article 19(5) stipulates that arbitral proceedings can be commenced or continued even if a case relating to challenge to an arbitrator is pending before a court. Consequently, a party cannot delay arbitral proceedings by frequent court applications.

### 17. What remedies are available where proceedings are started in the local court in breach of an arbitration agreement?

When a court proceeding is initiated in breach of an arbitration agreement, the court proceeding will be dismissed on request of the defendant (*Article 14(1)*). A request for dismissal cannot be filed with the court after the defendant pleads on the substance of the dispute (*Article 14(1)(iii)*). This is in contrast with the 1985 Model Law, which prescribes that the court will refer the parties to arbitration if a party argues the existence of an arbitration agreement. Even when an action is pending in court, an arbitral tribunal can commence or continue proceedings and make an arbitral award (*Article 14(2)*).

### 18. Will the local courts grant an injunction to restrain proceedings started overseas in breach of an arbitration agreement?

Under the Civil Preservation Law, it is doubtful whether a Japanese court can grant an injunction to restrain proceedings started overseas in breach of an arbitration agreement. At present, there has been no report of a Japanese court granting such an injunction.

**19. What remedies are available where one party denies that the tribunal has jurisdiction to determine the dispute(s)? Does your jurisdiction accept the concepts of separability and/or kompetenz-kompetenz? Does the tribunal or the local court determine issues of jurisdiction?**

Where one party denies the arbitral tribunal's jurisdiction, the arbitral tribunal can rule on the existence or validity of an arbitration agreement or its own jurisdiction (kompetenz-kompetenz) (*Article 23(1)*). A plea that the arbitral tribunal does not have jurisdiction must be raised early, in most cases before the time on which the first written statement on the substance of the dispute is submitted to the tribunal (*Article 23(2)*). If the arbitral tribunal decides that it has jurisdiction, a party can ask a court for judicial review within 30 days of receipt of the decision (*Article 23(5)*).

The Arbitration Law accepts the concept of severability. Even if contractual provisions (excluding the arbitration agreement) in a contract containing an arbitration agreement are found to be invalid, the validity of the arbitration agreement is not necessarily affected (*Article 13(6)*).

## REMEDIES

**20. What interim remedies are available from the tribunal? Can the tribunal award:**

- Security for costs?
- Security or other interim measures?

At the request of a party, the arbitral tribunal can order any party to provide an interim measure of protection that the arbitral tribunal may consider necessary in relation to the subject matter of the dispute. Specifically, the interim measure is designed to maintain the condition or value of the subject matter of the dispute, or to lessen any disadvantage that may arise for a party until an arbitral award is made (*Article 24(1)*). The arbitral tribunal can also order any party to provide appropriate security in connection with such measure (*Article 24(2)*).

Further, the arbitral tribunal can order either or both parties to deposit estimated arbitration costs as determined by the tribunal, unless otherwise agreed by the parties (*Article 48(1)*).

**21. What final remedies are available from the tribunal? For example, can the tribunal award damages, injunctions, declarations, costs and interest?**

There are no specific restrictions applicable to the types of awards or relief to be granted by the arbitral tribunal, provided they must be derived from the applicable substantive law. Where Japanese substantive law applies to the merit of the case, the arbitral tribunal can award damages, injunctive relief and declaratory relief. Interest can be awarded at 5% per year for claims to which the Civil Code applies, and 6% per year for claims to which the

## MAIN ARBITRATION ORGANISATIONS

### Japan Commercial Arbitration Association

**Main activities.** Arbitration, conciliation and mediation concerning commercial disputes, advice and provision of information relating to international transactions, and issuance and guarantee affairs of ATA Carnets (official forms for customs clearances) under the ATA Convention on temporary admission of goods.

W [www.jcaa.or.jp/e/index-e.html](http://www.jcaa.or.jp/e/index-e.html)

### International Chamber of Commerce

**Main activities.** A variety of activities relating to international business such as setting rules and standards relating to international commerce; and arbitration of international business disputes.

W [www.iccwbo.org](http://www.iccwbo.org)

Commercial Code applies, unless other rates are agreed to by the parties.

The parties can agree that the tribunal, in the award or in an independent ruling, will determine the apportionment between the parties of the costs disbursed during the proceedings (*Article 49(3)*).

## APPEALS

**22. Can arbitration proceedings and awards be appealed or challenged in the local courts? If yes, please briefly outline the grounds and procedure. Can the parties effectively exclude any rights of appeal?**

Arbitral awards cannot be appealed unless the parties agree otherwise. However, arbitral awards can be set aside or challenged in local courts. There are limited grounds to set aside or challenge arbitral awards, including (*Article 44(1)*):

- An invalid arbitration agreement.
- The required notice to appoint arbitrators was not given to a party.
- A party was unable to present its case.
- The award relates to matters beyond the scope of the arbitration agreement or claims of the arbitration.
- The composition of the tribunal or proceeding was not in accordance with the parties' agreement.
- The award was based on a dispute not qualifying as a subject for arbitration.
- The award is in conflict with public policy.

A challenge cannot be made if more than three months have lapsed from the date on which the challenging party received notice of the award or after an enforcement decision (*Article 46*) has become final and conclusive (*Article 44(2)*). In the challenge proceeding, a court will hold an oral hearing or oral proceeding, at which the parties can attend before making a decision (*Article 44(5)*).

When a court decision on a petition to set aside or challenge an arbitral award is made, the parties can appeal the decision (*Article 44(8)*). Generally, such appeal can be made only once. In addition, such appeal must be filed within two weeks of receipt of the decision (*Article 7*). The challenge proceedings at the first instance usually take half a year to one year, and the appeal proceedings usually take several months to half a year. Court fees for these processes are nominal (in many cases, less than US\$100 (about EUR78)), which are paid by the parties (as a general rule, by the unsuccessful party). The parties also have to bear their respective attorneys' fees.

Since these provisions relating to setting aside or challenging arbitral awards are mandatory, the parties cannot effectively exclude rights to set aside or challenge arbitration awards.

## COSTS

### 23. What legal fee structures can be used? For example, hourly rates and task based billing? Are fees fixed by law?

There are no provisions in the Arbitration Law which restrict legal fee structures to be used in arbitration proceedings. Parties can agree on remuneration of arbitrators and the manner in which costs for the proceedings are apportioned between them (*Articles 47(1) and 49(1)*). In practice, since parties usually agree on institutional arbitration, remuneration of arbitrators will be determined by the rules of the arbitration institution selected by the parties. The Arbitration Law is silent as to the fees payable to attorneys representing parties. Accordingly, the parties can freely agree on legal fee structures with their respective attorneys, including hourly rates and task based billing.

### 24. Does the unsuccessful party have to pay the successful party's costs? How does the tribunal usually calculate any costs award and what factors does it consider when awarding costs?

The parties can agree on the manner in which costs for the proceedings are apportioned between them. Failing an agreement, each party must bear the costs it has incurred in relation to the proceedings. The parties can agree that the arbitral tribunal, in the award or in an independent ruling, determine the apportionment between the parties of the costs incurred during the proceedings (*Article 49*). Therefore, it depends on the arbitration agreement whether the unsuccessful party will pay the successful party's costs.

Since parties usually agree on institutional arbitration, arbitral tribunals usually calculate costs in accordance with the rules of

the arbitration institution. Generally, arbitral tribunals consider factors such as:

- Remuneration of arbitrators.
- Costs arising from arbitration proceedings, including but not limited to remuneration of experts.
- Management fees of the arbitration institution.

## ENFORCEMENT

### 25. To what extent is an arbitration award made in your jurisdiction enforceable in the local courts? Please briefly outline the enforcement procedure.

Under the Arbitration Law, both domestic and foreign arbitration awards (regardless of whether they were made by a signatory to the New York Convention) have the same effect as a final judgment (*Article 45*) and are enforced in a Japanese court (*Article 46*), subject to the same limitations as the New York Convention. It is generally considered that Japanese courts look favourably on enforcing awards.

A party seeking enforcement based on an arbitral award can apply to a court for an enforcement decision (*Article 46(1)*). When an enforcement decision is made by the court, the arbitral award can be enforced in accordance with the general enforcement procedure (*Article 45(1)*) under the Civil Execution Law (*Law No. 4 of 1979*).

### 26. To what extent is an arbitration award made in your jurisdiction enforceable in other jurisdictions? Is your jurisdiction party to international treaties relating to this issue such as the UN Convention on the Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign Arbitral Awards 1958 (New York Convention)?

The New York Convention became effective in Japan in 1961, with a reservation of reciprocity.

Since Japan is a signatory to the New York Convention, arbitration awards made in Japan are enforceable in the territories of other signatories of the New York Convention, subject to the limitations in the New York Convention.

### 27. To what extent is a foreign arbitration award enforceable in your jurisdiction? Please briefly outline the enforcement procedure.

Since the New York Convention has direct effect in Japan, with a reservation of reciprocity, arbitration awards made in the territories of the signatories of the New York Convention are enforceable in Japan, subject to the limitations in the New York Convention.

Further, the Arbitration Law stipulates that both domestic and foreign arbitration awards (regardless of whether they were

made in a signatory state to the New York Convention) have the same effect as a final judgment (*Article 45*) and are enforced in Japanese courts (*Article 46*), subject to the same limitations as the New York Convention.

One of the limitations stipulated in the Arbitration Law is that the contents of awards should not be contrary to the public policy of Japan (*Article 45(2)(ix)*). Punitive damages that exceed compensatory damages would be against the public policy of Japan, and therefore would not be enforceable in Japan.

The enforcement procedure of foreign arbitration awards is the same as that of domestic arbitration awards. A party seeking enforcement based on the arbitral award can apply to a court for an enforcement decision (*Article 46(1)*). When an enforcement decision is made by the court, the arbitral award can be enforced in accordance with the general enforcement procedure (*Article 45(1)*) under the Civil Execution Law.

#### 28. How long do enforcement proceedings in the local court take? Is there any expedited procedure?

Since there are a variety of factors which affect enforcement proceedings, it is difficult to predict how long enforcement proceedings will take. Generally, if the obligor does not pose any questions about the enforceability of arbitration awards, an enforcement decision will be made by the court within two months. However, if the obligor poses such questions, the enforcement proceedings will require substantially more time. There is no expedited procedure for their enforcement.

#### CONTRIBUTOR DETAILS

**Shinji Kusakabe**  
*Anderson Mōri & Tomotsune*

T +81 3 6888 1062  
F +81 3 6888 3062  
E [shinji.kusakabe@amt-law.com](mailto:shinji.kusakabe@amt-law.com)  
W [www.andersonmoritomotsune.com/en](http://www.andersonmoritomotsune.com/en)

**Areas of practice/expertise.** Shinji Kusakabe specialises in litigation and arbitration, both international and domestic, as well as assisting clients in commercial transactions, product liability and other general corporate matters. In particular, Mr Kusakabe has a wealth of practical experience in assisting manufacturers with regard to product liability issues. Mr Kusakabe's particular specialties lie in advising clients on general corporate matters, taking into consideration potential future disputes, and in resolving current disputes in light of actual business practices and circumstances.

**Toshishige Fujiwara**  
*Anderson Mōri & Tomotsune*

T +81 3 6888 5896  
F +81 3 6888 6896  
E [toshishige.fujiwara@amt-law.com](mailto:toshishige.fujiwara@amt-law.com)  
W [www.andersonmoritomotsune.com/en](http://www.andersonmoritomotsune.com/en)

**Areas of practice/expertise.** Toshishige Fujiwara is an associate and has experience mainly in the areas of dispute resolution and assisting clients on general corporate matters.

**PLC** Law Department   
Professional support

**PRACTICAL LAW COMPANY** 

“PLC is a breath of fresh air.  
The way the material is presented  
makes you want to read it.”

Tim Lane, Legal Counsel, Rio Tinto PLC.

**PLC Law Department** is the essential know-how service for in-house lawyers. Never miss an important development and confidently advise your business on law and its practical implications. [www.practicallaw.com/ld](http://www.practicallaw.com/ld)

